arXiv:1302.1639v1 [math.RT] 7 Feb 2013

Transfer for certain relative trace formulae

Chong Zhang

February 8, 2013

Abstract

Let F be a p-adic field. In this article, we show the existence of transfer for relative trace formulae with respect to the symmetric pairs $(\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(F), \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ and $(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathrm{D}), \mathrm{GL}_n(E))$, where E is a quadratic field extension of F and D is a quaternion algebra over F containing E. This result is a key step on a generalization of Waldspurger's result on central values of L-functions of GL_2.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Notation and conventions **5**

3 Symmetric pairs I: general cases **7**
3.1 Actions of reductive groups 7
3.2 Symmetric pairs 8

4 Symmetric pairs II: specific cases **11**
4.1 $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H})$ 11
4.2 $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ 16

5 Transfer **18**
5.1 Definitions 18
5.2 Fundamental lemma 20
5.3 Reduction steps 22
5.4 Proof of Theorem 5.7 26

6 Representability **27**
6.1 Reduction to elliptic case 28
6.2 Application of Howe's finiteness theorem 31
6.3 Bound of orbital integrals 33
6.4 Proof of Theorem 6.6 38

7 Local calculations **42**
7.1 Limit formulae 42
7.2 Formulae for $\gamma_\psi(X, Y)$ 48
7.3 A comparison lemma 51
7.4 A proposition 52

8 Fourier transform commutes with transfer **55**
8.1 A convergence result 55
8.2 Proof of Theorem 8.1 59

1 Introduction

History The periods of automorphic forms paly an important role in the study of automorphic representation and related number theoretic problems. For example, periods of automoprhic forms can be usually used to characterize certain cases of the Langlands functorial liftings of automorphic representations. There are several powerful tools to study periods, and the theory of relative trace formula is one of them, which was first studied by Jacquet. In [Ja1], Jacquet reproved a remarkable result of Waldspurger [Wa1] on central values of L-functions of GL_2 by comparing relative trace formulae on different groups. In [Gu1], there is a partial conjecture on a generalization of this result.

To be precise, let k be a number field, $\mathbb{A}$ be its adéle ring, $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ and $\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$ which is embedded into $\mathbf{G}$ diagonally. Let k' be a quadratic field extension of k, η be the quadratic character of $\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times$ attached to k' by class field theory. Let $\mathbf{Z}$ be the center of $\mathbf{G}$. For a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ with trivial central character, we consider the linear forms $\ell_{\mathbf{H}}$ and $\ell_{\mathbf{H},\eta}$ on π defined by periods:

$$\ell_{\mathbf{H}}(\phi) := \int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})} \phi(h)\ \mathrm{d}h, \quad \ell_{\mathbf{H},\eta}(\phi) := \int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})} \phi(h)\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}h,$$

where $\phi \in \pi$ and $\eta(h) := \eta(\det h)$. We call π is $\mathbf{H}$-distinguished (resp. (H, η)-distinguished) if $\ell_{\mathbf{H}} \neq 0$ (resp. $\ell_{\mathbf{H},\eta} \neq 0$). On the other hand, for a quaternion algebra D over k containing k', let $\mathbf{G}' = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathrm{D})$ and $\mathbf{H}' = \mathrm{GL}_n(k')$, both viewed as reductive groups defined over k. We also view $\mathbf{H}'$ as a subgroup of $\mathbf{G}'$ in the natural way, and identify the center of $\mathbf{G}'$ with $\mathbf{Z}$. For a cuspidal automorphic representation π' of $\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A})$ with trivial central character, we consider the linear form $\ell_{\mathbf{H}'}$ on π' defined by

$$\ell_{\mathbf{H}'}(\phi) := \int_{\mathbf{H}'(k)\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A})} \phi(h)\ \mathrm{d}h, \quad \phi \in \pi'.$$

We can define the notion of $\mathbf{H}'$-distinguished similarly. Motivated by Waldspurger's result which is the case $n = 1$, it is conjectured in [Gu1] that:

Conjecture 1.1. If there exists such $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ as above and an $\mathbf{H}'$-distinguished cuspidal automorphic representation π' on $\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A})$ which is the global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of a cuspidal automorphic representation π on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, then π is both $\mathbf{H}$-distinguished and $(\mathbf{H}, \eta)$-distinguished.

Moreover, it was conjectured that in the case n is odd, the converse is also true. However, we will not touch this direction. These periods can be used to study the central values $L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi)L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \eta)$. It is showed in [FJ] that if π is both $\mathbf{H}$-distinguished and $(\mathbf{H}, \eta)$-distinguished then $L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi)L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \eta) \neq 0$ which should has a relation with $\ell_{\mathbf{H}'}(\phi)$ for $\phi \in \pi'$.

In [Gu1], a relative trace formula approach which is a natural extension of Jacquet's method in [Ja1] was proposed to attack the above conjecture, and the first step was finished there: the proof of the fundamental lemma for unit Hecke functions. We will write RTF (*) for this relative trace formula approach for simplicity. Since we only focus on the transfer for RTF (*) and do not discuss the global aspect of it, we will not recall the precise form of RTF(*). We refer the readers to [Gu1] for more details.

There is a remarkable generalization of Waldspurger's result on another direction: the so called Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture [GGP] and the refined version of it by Ichino-Ikeda [II] and N. Harris [Ha]. Recently, W. Zhang ([Zh1],[Zh2]) has made a great advance towards the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups by using the relative trace formulae of Jacquet-Rallis. One of his achievements is the proof of the transfer conjecture in p-adic case for Jacquet-Rallis relative trace formula, and his method is close to that of [Ja2].

Goal of this article In this article, we will prove the transfer conjecture in p-adic case for RTF (*). Please see Theorem 5.7 for the statement. Our proof is mainly motivated by W. Zhang's work [Zh1] on transfer for Jacquet-Rallis relative trace formula and Waldspurger's work [Wa3] on endoscopic transfer for usual trace formula.

The proof is divided into two parts. In the first part, we linearize the question and reduce the linearized version to a local question around zero. This part is almost as same as [Zh1]. In the second part, we solve the local question around zero by showing the compatibility of transfer with Fourier transform. Since there is no partial Fourier transform here, we could not use the induction method of [Zh1] any more. Our discussion is more close to [Wa3]. We will use a global approach to solve the problem. To make this method available, we have to prove the representability of the Fourier transform of orbital integrals and exhibit "limit formulae" for the kernel functions as Waldspurger did in [Wa2], which is the main contribution of this article.

After establishing the transfer, we remark that some partial results on Conjecture 1.1 could be deduced under certain local conditions on automorphic representations, by using the simple relative trace formula.

Structure of this article In §2, we set up the basic terminologies.

Since $(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{H})$ and $(\mathbf{G}',\mathbf{H}')$ are symmetric pairs, we collect basic notions and results on symmetric pairs in §3. In particular, we recall the analytic Luna slice theorem which plays an pivotal role on linearizing the question and also on the descent of orbital integrals.

In §4, we emphasize the study of symmetric pairs on $(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{H})$ and $(\mathbf{G}',\mathbf{H}')$. We will give a complete description of all the descendants of the corresponding symmetric spaces and their "Lie algebras". We will also prove Proposition 4.4 and 4.8, which are crucial for estimating orbital integrals.

In §5, we will introduce the main result of the article and prove the main Theorem 5.7 on transfer under the assumption of results proved in later sections. After several reduction steps, we will reduce the original question to the question of the compatibility of transfer with Fourier transform. This is the first part of the proof of the transfer conjecture. We will also prove the fundamental lemma for Lie algebras, which is crucial for our global approach.

To finish the second part of the proof, we have to pay more effort on the study the Fourier transform of orbital integrals. One of the most important question is to show the representability, that is, the Fourier transform of an orbital integral considered as a distribution can be represented by a locally integrable kernel function. We will solve it in §6. The representability itself is also a fundamental question in the study of p-adic harmonic analysis.

The §7 is devoted to showing limit formulae for kernel functions of the Fourier transform, which is an analogue of [Wa2, Section VIII]. We will also construct certain good functions which are transfer of each other and the Fourier transform of them are also transfer of each other up to a scalar, which is an analogue of [Wa3, Proposition 8.2]. Such functions will be used in the later construction of certain global Schwartz functions.

Finally, we will finish the proof in §8, based on the results of §7 and the fundamental lemma.

Acknowledgements Needless to say, the monumental work of Jean-Loup Waldspurger on endoscopic transfer has a big influence on this article. The author is very grateful to Wei Zhang for suggesting this topic and sharing the idea that Waldspurger's method may be applied in this situation, to Binyong Sun for proving the Theorem 8.2 which is crucial for our method. The author expresses gratitude to Ye Tian for his constant encouragement and support.

2 Notation and conventions

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic 0, with finite residue field. Fix an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$, and denote $\Gamma_F = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. We denote by $|\cdot|_F$ (resp. v_F) the absolute value (resp. the valuation) of F, and extend them to $\bar{F}$ in the usual way. Let $\mathcal{O}_F$ be the integer ring of F and fix a uniformizer ϖ of $\mathcal{O}_F$. For a finite extension field L of F, denote by $\mathrm{N}_{L/F}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/F}$ the norm and trace maps respectively. Throughout this article, we fix an additive unitary character $\psi : F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$.

All the algebraic varieties and algebraic groups that we consider are defined over F except in §8. We always use bold letter to denote an algebraic group, italic letter to denote its F-rational points, and Fraktur letter to denote its Lie algebra. Sometimes we will treat finite dimensional vector spaces defined over F as algebraic varieties. For an algebraic variety X, $X(F)$ is equipped with the natural topology induced from F, which is a totally disconnected topological space.

For a group H acting on a set X and a subset $\omega \subset X$, we denote by ω^H the set $\{h \cdot x; x \in \omega, h \in H\}$. For an element $x \in X$, we denote by H_x the stabilizer of x in H.

For a totally disconnected topological space X over F, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ the space of locally constant and compactly supported $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions, and denote by $\mathcal{D}(X)$ the space of distributions on X. For $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Supp}(f)$ its support. Suppose H (an ℓ-group) acts on X. Then H acts on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ by

$$(h \cdot f)(x) = f(h^{-1} \cdot x), \quad h \in H,\ f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X),\ x \in X,$$

and acts on $\mathcal{D}(X)$ by

$$\langle h \cdot T, f \rangle = \langle T, h \cdot f \rangle, \quad T \in \mathcal{D}(X),\ f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X).$$

For a locally constant character $\eta : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, we call a distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ (H, η)-invariant if $h \cdot T = \eta(h)T$, and we denote by $\mathcal{D}(X)^{H,\eta}$ the space of (H, η)-invariant distributions on X. If X is a finite dimensional space and the Fourier transform $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ is fixed, for $T \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, we denote by $\widehat{T}$ its Fourier transform, which is a distribution on X defined by $\widehat{T}(f) = T(\widehat{f})$.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a reductive group and $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$. Following Harish-Chandra, we define a height function $\| \cdot \|$ on G valued in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}$. By a subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$, we mean a closed F-subgroup. We write $N_G(\cdot)$ for the normalizer and $Z_G(\cdot)$ for the centralizer of certain set in G, and write $\mathbf{Z}$ for the center of $\mathbf{G}$.

Fix a non degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$, which is invariant by conjugation. For each subspace $\mathfrak{f}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ on which the restriction of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is non degenerate, we equip this subspace with the self-dual Haar measure with respect to the bi-character $\psi\left(\langle\ ,\ \rangle\right)$. Define the Fourier transform $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{f})$ by

$$\widehat{f}(X) = \int_{\mathfrak{f}} f(Y)\psi\left(\langle X, Y\rangle\right)\ \mathrm{d}Y.$$

Then $\widehat{\widehat{f}}(X) = f(-X)$.

We fix a neighborhood V_G of 1 in G, and a neighborhood $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of 0 in $\mathfrak{g}(F)$, open and invariant by the adjoint actions of G, and a G-equivariant homeomorphism $\exp : V_{\mathfrak{g}} \to V_G$ satisfying the usual properties of the exponential. We equip G the Haar measure such that exp preserves locally the measures (restriction to $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and V_G). We define similarly a Haar measure on H for each reductive subgroup $\mathbf{H}$ in $\mathbf{G}$.

If K is a special compact subgroup of G, we equip K the Haar measure such that $\mathrm{vol}(K) = 1$. Let M be a Levi of G and $P = MU$ is a parabolic subgroup with M as a maximal Levi. We equip U a Haar measure, such that for each $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$, we have the equality

$$\int_G f(g)\ \mathrm{d}g = \int_K \int_U \int_M f(muk)\ \mathrm{d}m\ \mathrm{d}u\ \mathrm{d}k.$$

By reversing the exp, we equip $\mathfrak{u}(F)$ with a Haar measure compatible with the measure on U, where $\mathfrak{u}$ is the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{U}$.

At last, we recall the definition of Weil index γ_ψ associated to a quadratic space. Let q be a non degenerate quadratic form on a finite dimensional vector space V over F, and $L \subset V$ an $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattice. Set $i(L) = \int_L \psi(q(v)/2)\ \mathrm{d}v$. Define $\gamma_\psi(q) = i(L)|i(L)|^{-1}$, which is independent of L if $\widetilde{L} \subset 2L$ where $\widetilde{L}$ is the dual lattice of L in V.

3 Symmetric pairs I: general cases

In this section, we recall some basic theory and necessary results for general symmetric pairs. We refer the readers to [AG] and [RR] for most of the contents.

3.1 Actions of reductive groups

Fix a reductive group $\mathbf{H}$ and an affine variety $\mathbf{X}$ with an action by $\mathbf{H}$, both defined over F. Denote $H = \mathbf{H}(F)$ and $X = \mathbf{X}(F)$. Then the categorical quotient $\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{H}$ of $\mathbf{X}$ by $\mathbf{H}$ exists. In fact, $\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{X})^{\mathbf{H}}$. Let π denote the natural map $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{H}$ and $X \to (\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{H})(F)$.

Let $x \in X$. We say x is

- H-semisimple if $\mathbf{H}x$ is Zariski closed in $\mathbf{X}$ (or equivalently, Hx is closed in X for the analytic topology),
- H-regular if the stabilizer $\mathbf{H}_x$ has minimal dimension.

We usually say semisimple or regular without mentioning H if there is no confusion. Denote by X_{rs} (resp. X_{ss}) the set of regular semisimple (resp. semisimple) elements in X.

If $\mathbf{X}$ is an F-rational finite dimensional representation of $\mathbf{H}$, say a point $x \in X$ nilpotent if $0 \in \mathrm{cl}(Hx)$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the set of nilpotent elements in X, which is a cone.

An open subset $U \subset X$ is called saturated if there exists an open subset $V \subset (\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{H})(F)$ such that $U = \pi^{-1}(V)$.

For $x \in X$ a semisimple element, we denote by $N^X_{Hx,x}$ the normal space of Hx at x. Then the stabilizer H_x acts naturally on the vector space $N^X_{Hx,x}$. We call $(H_x, N^X_{Hx,x})$ the sliced representation at x, or the descendent of x. We then have the analytic Luna slice theorem: there exist

1. an open H-invariant neighborhood U_x of Hx in X with an H-equivariant retract $p : U_x \to Hx$;
2. an H_x-equivariant embedding $\psi : p^{-1}(x) \hookrightarrow N^X_{Hx,x}$ with an open saturated image such that $\psi(x) = 0$.

Denote $Z_x = p^{-1}(x)$ and $N_x = N^X_{Hx,x}$. We call (U_x, p, ψ, Z_x, N_x) an analytic Luna slice at x. Let $y \in p^{-1}(x)$ and $z := \psi(y)$. Then we have:

1. $(H_x)_z = H_y$,
2. $N^X_{Hy,y} \simeq N^{N_x}_{H_x z,z}$ as H_y spaces,
3. y is H-semisimple if and only if z is H_x-semisimple.

3.2 Symmetric pairs

A symmetric pair is a triple $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ where $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{G}$ are reductive groups, and θ is an involution of $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G}^\theta$ being the subgroup of fixed points. For a symmetric pair $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ we define an anti-involution $\iota : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}$ by $\iota(g) = \theta(g^{-1})$. Denote $\mathbf{G}^\iota = \{g \in \mathbf{G};\ \iota(g) = g\}$ and define a symmetrization map

$$s : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}^\iota, \quad s(g) = g\iota(g).$$

By this symmetrization map we can view the symmetric space $S := G/H$ as an open and closed subset of $\mathbf{G}^\iota(F)$. We will consider the action of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ on $\mathbf{G}$ by left and right translation and the conjugation action of $\mathbf{H}$ on $\mathbf{G}^\iota$.

Let θ act by its differential on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{G})$. Denote $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{H})$, then $\mathfrak{h} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g};\ \theta(X) = X\}$. Denote $\mathfrak{s} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g};\ \theta(X) = -X\}$, on which $\mathbf{H}$ acts by adjoint action. We will also call $\mathfrak{s}$ the Lie algebra of S for simplicity, while in fact it is not a Lie algebra. We always write $X^h = h^{-1} \cdot X = \mathrm{Ad}(h^{-1})X$ for $h \in \mathbf{H}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{s}$. There exists a $\mathbf{G}$-invariant θ-invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ is an orthogonal direct sum with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$.

Let $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ be a symmetric pair. Let $g \in G$ be $H \times H$-semisimple, and $x = s(g)$. Then we have

1. x is semisimple (both as an element of G and with respect to the H-action),
2. $H_x \simeq (H \times H)_g$ and $\mathfrak{s}_x \simeq N^G_{HgH,H}$ as H_x-spaces, where $\mathfrak{s}_x$ is the centralizer of x in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$.

Weyl integration formula Let $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ be a symmetric pair. Denote by $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}$ the regular and semisimple locus in $\mathfrak{s}$ with respect to the $\mathbf{H}$-action. We call a torus $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ θ-split if $\theta(t) = t^{-1}$ for all $t \in \mathbf{T}$. Fix a Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{s}$, which by definition is a maximal abelian subspace consisting of semisimple elements with respect to $\mathbf{H}$-action. We always assume that a Cartan subspace is F-rational when we mention it. Then there is an F-rational θ-split torus denoted by $\mathbf{T}^-$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{c}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ the regular locus in $\mathfrak{c}$. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the centralizer of $\mathfrak{c}$ in $\mathbf{H}$, which is a torus, and denote $\mathfrak{t} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{T})$.

For $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, we now introduce the factor $|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F$. Consider the morphism

$$\beta : (\mathbf{T}\backslash\mathbf{H}) \times \mathfrak{c} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s}, \quad (h, X) \mapsto X^h,$$

which is regular at $(1, X)$ The Jacobian of the differential $\mathrm{d}\beta$ at $(1, X)$ is equal to

$$|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F := |\det(\mathrm{ad}(X); \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{c})|_F^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Denote by $S_{\mathfrak{c}}$ the set of roots of $\mathbf{T}^-$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. For any $\alpha \in S_{\mathfrak{c}}$, since $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{s}$, we have $\theta(\alpha) = -\alpha$. Therefore θ interchanges the root subspaces $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$. Fix a set of positive abstract roots in $S_{\mathfrak{c}}$, and choose a basis $\{E_1, E_2, ..., E_k\}$ of root vectors for the direct sum of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with $\alpha > 0$. Denote $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \oplus_{\alpha \in S_{\mathfrak{c}}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ so that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. Then over $\bar{F}$,

- $\{E_1, E_2, ..., E_k\} \cup \{\theta(E_1), \theta(E_2), ..., \theta(E_k)\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{g}_1$;
- $\{E_1 - \theta(E_1), E_2 - \theta(E_2), ..., E_k - \theta(E_k)\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{s}_1 := \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$;
- $\{E_1 + \theta(E_1), E_2 + \theta(E_2), ..., E_k + \theta(E_k)\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{h}_1 := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Under the adjoint action, elements of $\mathfrak{c}$ map $\mathfrak{h}_1$ to $\mathfrak{s}_1$ and vice versa. There is an involution ϱ on $\mathfrak{g}_1$ whose $+1$-eigenspace is $\oplus_{\alpha>0}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and whose -1-eigenspace is $\oplus_{\alpha<0}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Then ϱ interchanges $\mathfrak{s}_1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_1$, and ϱ commutes with $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ for X in $\mathfrak{c}(F)$. Thus we have

$$|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F = |\det(\varrho \circ \mathrm{ad}(X); \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{t})|_F = |\det(\varrho \circ \mathrm{ad}(X); \mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{c})|_F.$$

For a Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{c}$, let M be its normalizer in H, $W_{\mathfrak{c}} := M/T$ be its Weyl group. The map

$$(T\backslash H) \times \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$$

obtained from β by restriction is a local isomorphism of p-adic manifolds and its image, denoted by $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\mathfrak{c}}$, is open in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. The fiber of β through $(h, X) \in (T\backslash H) \times \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ has $|W_{\mathfrak{c}}|$ elements. We have

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F) = \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\mathfrak{c}},$$

where the union runs over a (finite) set of representatives $\mathfrak{c}$ for the set of H-conjugacy classes of F-rational Cartan subspaces in $\mathfrak{s}$. Then, for $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$, we have the following Weyl integration formula

$$\int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} f(X)\,\mathrm{d}X = \sum_{\mathfrak{c}} \frac{1}{|W_{\mathfrak{c}}|} \int_{\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)} |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F \int_{T\backslash H} f(X^h)\,\mathrm{d}h\,\mathrm{d}X.$$

Nilpotent cone Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, which consists of finitely many H-orbits. Denote by $\mathcal{N}_q$ the union of all H-orbits in $\mathcal{N}$ of dimension $\leq q$, which is closed in $\mathcal{N}_{q+1}$.

Fix $X_0 \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{N}$. Denote by X_0^H the H-orbit of X_0, and $\mathfrak{h}_{X_0}$ the centralizer of X_0 in $\mathfrak{h}(F)$. Let $r = \dim \mathfrak{h}_{X_0}$. Then X_0^H is of dimension $2n^2 - r$, and is open in $\mathcal{N}_{2n^2-r}$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a group homomorphism* $\phi : \mathrm{SL}_2 \to G$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{d}\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = X_0, \ \mathrm{d}\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) =: Y_0, \ \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) =: \mathrm{D}_t(X_0),$$

with $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$ *and* $\mathrm{D}_t(X_0) \in H$*.*

Proof. See [AG, Lemma 7.1.11]. ☐

We denote $\mathrm{d}(X_0) = \mathrm{d}\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ which is in $\mathfrak{h}(F)$, and often write $\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{d}(X_0)$ when there is no confusion. For any $X \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$ (resp. $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$), we denote by $\mathfrak{s}_X$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_X$) the centralizer of X in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}(F)$).

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0} \oplus [X_0, \mathfrak{h}(F)] = \mathfrak{s}(F), \quad \mathfrak{s}_{X_0} \oplus [Y_0, \mathfrak{h}(F)] = \mathfrak{s}(F).$$

Proof. The first direct sum decomposition follows from the relations

$$\mathfrak{g}_{Y_0} \oplus [X_0, \mathfrak{g}(F)] = \mathfrak{g}(F) \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}(F) = \mathfrak{h}(F) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(F).$$

Similar for the second one. ☐

Let Γ be the Cartan subgroup of H with the Lie algebra $F \cdot \mathrm{d}(X_0)$, and let ξ be the rational character of Γ defined by

$$X_0^\gamma = \xi(\gamma) X_0, \quad Y_0^\gamma = \xi^{-1}(\gamma) Y_0,$$

which is not trivial. Let $r' = \dim \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$. The lemma below is obvious.

Lemma 3.3. *We can choose a basis* $Y_0 = U_1, U_2, ..., U_{r'}$ *for* $\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$ *and rational characters* $\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_{r'}$ *of* Γ *such that*

1. $\xi_i^2 = \xi^{\lambda_i}, \lambda_i \geq 0$,
2. $\mathrm{ad}(-\mathrm{d}) U_i = \lambda_i U_i$,
3. $U_i^\gamma = \xi_i^{-1}(\gamma) U_i$, *for all* $1 \leq i \leq r'$.

Denote

$$m = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r'} \lambda_i\right) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ad}(-\mathrm{d})|_{\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}}\right).$$

4 Symmetric pairs II: specific cases

Now we focus on the symmetric pairs concerned in this article. The notations introduced here will be used without mention from now on.

4.1 $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H})$

Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ and $\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$, both defined over F. $\mathbf{H}$ is viewed as a subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ by embedding it into $\mathbf{G}$ diagonally. Let $\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix}$ and define an involution θ on $\mathbf{G}$ by $\theta(g) = \epsilon g \epsilon$. Then $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G}^\theta$, $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n$, and H acts on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ by

$$(h_1, h_2) \cdot (X, Y) = (h_1 X h_2^{-1}, h_2 Y h_1^{-1}),$$

where $(h_1, h_2) \in H, (X, Y) \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$. Recall that we write $X^h = h^{-1} \cdot X$ for $h \in H, X \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$. We fix a non degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ defined by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \mathrm{tr}(XY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}(F).$$

Then $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is both G-invariant and θ-invariant.

Since $H^1(F, \mathbf{H})$ is trivial, we have $S = \mathcal{S}(F)$ where $S := G/H, \mathcal{S} := \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$. We identify S with its image in $\mathbf{G}^\iota(F)$ by the the symmetrization map s. When we want to emphasize on the index n, we write $\mathbf{G}_n, \mathbf{H}_n, \theta_n, \mathfrak{s}_n$.

Descendants Now we describe all the H-semisimple elements of S and $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ and their descendants.

Proposition 4.1. *1. Each semisimple element x of S is H-conjugate to an element of the form*

$$x(A, n_1, n_2) := \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & A - \mathbf{1}_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A + \mathbf{1}_m & 0 & 0 & A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $n = m + n_1 + n_2$, $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_m(F)$ being semisimple without eigenvalues ± 1. Moreover, $x(A, n_1, n_2)$ is regular if and only if $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ and A is regular in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$ without eigenvalue ± 1.

2. *Let $x = x(A, n_1, n_2)$ in S be semisimple. Then its descendant $(H_x, \mathfrak{s}_x)$ is isomorphic to the product (as a representation)*

$$(\mathrm{GL}_m(F)_A, \mathfrak{gl}_m(F)_A) \times (H_{n_1}, \mathfrak{s}_{n_1}) \times (H_{n_2}, \mathfrak{s}_{n_2}).$$

Here $\mathrm{GL}_m(F)_A$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_m(F)_A$ is the centralizer of A in $\mathrm{GL}_m(F)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_m(F)$ respectively, and $\mathrm{GL}_m(F)_A$ acts on $\mathfrak{gl}_m(F)_A$ by conjugation.

Proof. See [JR] or [Gu1] for the first assertion. The second assertion can be proved by a direct computation. □

Proposition 4.2. 1. *Each semisimple element X of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ is H-conjugate to an element of the form*

$$X(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $A \in \mathrm{GL}_m(F)$ being semisimple. Moreover, $X(A)$ is regular if and only if $m = n$ and $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is regular.

2. *Let $X = X(A)$ in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ be semisimple. Then its descendant $(H_X, \mathfrak{s}_X)$ is isomorphic to the product (as a representation)*

$$(\mathrm{GL}_m(F)_A, \mathfrak{gl}_m(F)_A) \times (H_{n-m}, \mathfrak{s}_{n-m}).$$

Proof. See [JR]. □

Nilpotent cone Fix $X_0 \neq 0$ in the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, and let (X_0, d, Y_0) be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple as before. Recall $\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{d}(X_0)$.

Lemma 4.3. *We have $\dim \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0} = \dim \mathfrak{h}_{X_0} = r$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2 and the relation

$$\dim \mathfrak{h}_{X_0} + \dim[X_0, \mathfrak{h}(F)] = \dim \mathfrak{h}(F) = \dim \mathfrak{s}(F).$$

□

In [JR, §3], $\mathfrak{h}_{X_0}$ is well studied, and an upper bound for $\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{d})|_{\mathfrak{h}_{X_0}}\right)$ is given there. By a minor modification, the structure of $\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$ can also be determined by the method of [JR]. For our purpose, we want to compare $r+m$ with $n^2+\frac{n}{2}$ where $r = \dim \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$ and $m = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ad}(-\mathrm{d})|_{\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}}\right)$. The following inequality will be used in §6.3.

Proposition 4.4. *We have the relation*

1. $r \geq n$,
2. $r + m > n^2 + \frac{n}{2}$.

Proof. Write $Y = Y_0$ for short. Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$, where $V_i = F^n, 0 \leq i \leq 1$. We identify $\mathfrak{g}(F) = \mathrm{Hom}(V, V)$, $\mathfrak{h}(F) = \mathrm{Hom}(V_0, V_0) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_1)$ and $\mathfrak{s}(F) = \mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_0) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$. Given Y, there is a decomposition $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$, where each W_i is an indecomposable $F[Y]$-submodule. We can choose a generator z_i of W_i such that z_i is in either V_0 or V_1. Define $\deg(z_i) = 0$ if $z_i \in V_0$, otherwise $\deg(z_i) = 1$. Denote $w_i = \dim W_i$. There is an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$ to some space

$$\mathcal{Z} = \oplus_{1 \leq i,j \leq k} S_{ij}.$$

Now we describe S_{ij} precisely. An element $b_{ij} \in S_{ij}$ is in $F[X]/(X^{w_j})$ of the form:

1. $b_{ij}(X) = \sum_{\max\{w_j - w_i, 0\} \leq \ell < w_j} a_\ell^{ij} X^\ell$,
2. $a_\ell^{ij} = 0$ when $\delta_i \delta_j = (-1)^\ell$, where $\delta_i := (-1)^{\deg(z_i)}$.

We define an operator $\rho(\mathrm{d}) := X \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dX}}$ on $F[X]$, and an endomorphism $\rho(\mathrm{d})$ on $\mathcal{Z}$ by restriction. Each S_{ij} is an invariant subspace of $\rho(\mathrm{d})$. Denote

$$r_{ii} = \dim S_{ii}, \quad m_{ii} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho(\mathrm{d})|_{S_{ii}}\right)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and

$$r_{ij} = \dim S_{ij} + \dim S_{ji}, \quad m_{ij} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho(\mathrm{d})|_{S_{ij}}\right) + \mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho(\mathrm{d})|_{S_{ij}}\right)$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. Then

$$r = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} r_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} r_{ij}, \quad m = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} m_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} m_{ij}.$$

The following lemma gives a complete list of r_{ii}, r_{ij}, m_{ii} and m_{ij}. One can obtain it by the above description and direct computation.

Lemma 4.5. 1. *For $1 \leq i \leq k$, if $w_i = 2p_i$ or $2p_i + 1$, we have*

$$r_{ii} = p_i, \quad m_{ii} = p_i^2.$$

2. *For $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, we have*

w_i, w_j	$\delta_i\delta_j$	m_{ij}	r_{ij}
$w_i = 2p_i, w_j = 2p_j$	1	$2p_ip_j$	$2\min(p_i, p_j)$
$w_i = 2p_i, w_j = 2p_j$	-1	$2p_ip_j - 2\min(p_i, p_j)$	$2\min(p_i, p_j)$
$w_i = 2p_i, w_j = 2p_j + 1, w_i < w_j$	± 1	$2p_ip_j$	$2p_i$
$w_i = 2p_i, w_j = 2p_j + 1, w_i > w_j$	1	$2p_ip_j + 2(p_i - p_j) - 1$	$2p_j + 1$
$w_i = 2p_i, w_j = 2p_j + 1, w_i > w_j$	-1	$2p_ip_j$	$2p_j + 1$
$w_i = 2p_i + 1, w_j = 2p_j + 1$	1	$2p_ip_j$	$2\min(p_i, p_j)$
$w_i = 2p_i + 1, w_j = 2p_j + 1$	-1	$2p_ip_j + 2\sup(p_i, p_j)$	$2\min(p_i, p_j) + 2$

Now we continue to prove the proposition.

(1) The first inequality of the proposition can be read off from the above list. It is not hard to see that $r = n$ if and only if $Y^{2n} = 0$ and $Y^{2n-1} \neq 0$.

(2) For the second inequality, compare the proof of [JR, Lemma 3.1]. We denote by u the number of indices i such that w_i is odd and $\delta_i = 1$, which is equal to the number of indices j such that w_j is odd and $\delta_j = -1$. Then

$$n = u + \sum_{1 \le i \le k} p_i,$$

where $w_i = 2p_i$ or $2p_i + 1$ as in the above table. Thus

$$n^2 + \frac{n}{2} = u^2 + \frac{u}{2} + \left(2u + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{1 \le i \le k} p_i\right) + \sum_{1 \le i \le k} p_i^2 + 2\sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} p_ip_j.$$

On the other hand

$$r + m = \sum_{1 \le i \le k} (r_{ii} + m_{ii}) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} (r_{ij} + m_{ij})$$

is determined by the data

$$(w_1, \delta_1, w_2, \delta_2, ..., w_k, \delta_k).$$

We now induct on the number of indices i so that w_i is even. First assume all the integers w_i are odd. Then it is not hard to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
r+m=&\sum_{1\leq i\leq k}(p_i^2+p_i)+2\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k}p_ip_j+2\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k,\ \delta_i\delta_j=1}\min(p_i,p_j)\\
&+2\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k,\ \delta_i\delta_j=-1}(\sup(p_i,p_j)+\min(p_i,p_j)+1)\\
=&\sum_{1\leq i\leq k}(p_i^2+p_i)+2\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k}p_ip_j+2\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k,\ \delta_i\delta_j=1}\min(p_i,p_j)\\
&+2u\sum_{1\leq i\leq k}p_i+2u^2\\
\geq&2u^2+(2u+1)\left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq k}p_i\right)+\sum_{1\leq i\leq k}p_i^2+2\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k}p_ip_j\\
>&n^2+\frac{n}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can arrange the data so that w_k is even. If $k=1$, then $w_1=2n$ and $r+m=n^2+n$ which is strictly greater than $n^2+\frac{n}{2}$. By induction on the number of indices i with w_i even, we may assume that the inequality is proved for the data $(w_1,\delta_1,...,w_{k-1},\delta_{k-1})$. By the induction hypothesis, the contribution of the indices (i,j) with $1\leq i\leq j\leq k-1$ is strictly greater than $n'^2+\frac{n'}{2}$ where

$$n'=u+\sum_{1\leq i<k}p_i.$$

Therefore we have to show that the sum of the contributions $r_{ik}+m_{ik}$ of the pairs (i,k) with $i\leq k$ is greater than or equal to

$$n^2+\frac{n}{2}-n'^2-\frac{n'}{2}=p_k^2+\frac{p_k}{2}+2\sum_{1\leq i<k}p_ip_k+2up_k.$$

The contribution of the pair (k,k) is $p_k^2+p_k>p_k^2+\frac{p_k}{2}$. Now consider the contribution of a pair (i,k) with $i<k$. It is always greater than or equal to $2p_ip_k$ when $w_i=2p_i$. When $w_i=2p_i+1$, it is always greater than or equal to $2p_ip_k+2p_k$ (called good case) except when $w_k>w_i$ and $\delta_i\delta_k=-1$ (called bad case). It contributes at least $2p_ip_k$ in bad case. However it doesn't matter when bad cases happen. Since if bad cases happen u' times with $\delta_i=-\delta_k$, good cases happen at least u' times with w_j such that $w_j=2p_j+1$ and $\delta_j=\delta_k$, which contribute at least $2u'p_k+\sum_j 2p_jp_k$. This concludes the proof of the proposition. □

4.2 $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$

Let $E = F(\sqrt{\Delta})$ be a quadratic extension field of F, D a quaternion algebra over F containing E. Let η be the quadratic character of $F^\times$ associated to E by the local class field theory, and denote by σ the non trivial element in $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. Sometimes we also write $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ for $x \mapsto \sigma(x)$. Let $\mathbf{G}' = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathrm{D})$, $\mathbf{H}' = \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$, both viewed as reductive groups defined over F. We can write $\mathbf{G}'$ and $\mathbf{H}'$ in a more concrete form. There is a $\gamma \in F^\times$ such that, if we denote by L_γ the algebra

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & \gamma B \\ \bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix} ;\ A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(E) \right\},$$

then $G' = \mathbf{G}'(F) = \mathrm{L}_\gamma^\times$ and $H' = \mathbf{H}'(F)$ consists of the ones with $B = 0$. We will always consider $\mathbf{G}'$ and $\mathbf{H}'$ in such a form. Note that if $\gamma \in \mathrm{N}_{E/F} E^\times$, then $\mathbf{G}' \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$. Fix a square root δ of Δ in E. Let $\epsilon' = \begin{pmatrix} \delta \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix}$, and define an involution θ on $\mathbf{G}'$ by $\theta(g) = \epsilon' g \epsilon'^{-1}$. Then $\mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{G}'^\theta$. Let $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{G}')$, $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{H}')$, and $\mathfrak{s}'$ be the space attached to θ such that $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{s}'$. Then $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$ is the space $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma B \\ \bar{B} & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; B \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(E) \right\}$. If we identify $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$ with $\mathfrak{gl}_n(E)$, then $H' = \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ acts on $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$ by $h \cdot X = hX\bar{h}^{-1}$, which is the σ-twisted conjugation. We fix a non degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}'(F)$ defined by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \mathrm{tr}(XY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}'(F).$$

By definition, it is easy to see $\langle X, Y \rangle \in F$. Then $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is both G'-invariant and θ-invariant.

Since $H^1(F, \mathbf{H}')$ is trivial, we have $S' = \mathcal{S}'(F)$, where $S' := G'/H', \mathcal{S}' := \mathbf{G}'/\mathbf{H}'$. We identity S' with its image in $\mathbf{G}'^\iota(F)$ by the symmetrization map s. When we want to emphasize on the index n, we write $\mathbf{G}'_n, \mathbf{H}'_n, \theta_n, \mathfrak{s}'_n$.

Before we continue, we recall some basic facts on the norm map in theory of base change. If $x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$, we write $\mathrm{N}(x) = x\bar{x}$, which is called the norm of x. If $x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$, $\mathrm{N}(x)$ is conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ to an element y of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, and y is uniquely determined modulo conjugation in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. We denote by $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{GL}_n(E))$ the subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ consisting of element y satisfying that there is $x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ such that y is conjugate to $\mathrm{N}(x)$. In fact, if $y \in \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{GL}_n(E))$, there is $x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ such that $y = x\bar{x}$.

Descendants Now we describe all the H'-semisimple elements of S' and $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$ and their descendants.

Proposition 4.6. 1. *Each semisimple elements y of S' is H'-conjugate to an element of the form*

$$y(A,n_1,n_2)=\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & \gamma B & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \bar{B} & 0 & 0 & A & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2}\end{pmatrix},$$

with $A\in\mathfrak{gl}_m(F)$ being semisimple such that $A^2-\mathbf{1}_m\in\gamma\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{GL}_m(E))$ and $B\in\mathrm{GL}_m(E)$ is a fixed matrix such that $A^2-\mathbf{1}_m=\gamma B\bar{B}$, $AB=BA$, and $n=m+n_1+n_2$. Moreover, $y(A,n_1,n_2)$ is regular if and only if $n_1=n_2=0$ and A is regular in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$ without eigenvalue ±1.

2. *Let $y=y(A,n_1,n_2)$ in S' be semisimple. Then its descendant $(H'_y,\mathfrak{s}'_y)$ is isomorphic to the product (as a representation)*

$$(\mathrm{GL}_m(E)_A\cap\mathrm{GL}^{\sigma}_m(E)_B,\mathfrak{gl}_m(E)_A\cap\mathfrak{gl}^{\sigma}_m(E)_B)\times(H'_{n_1},\mathfrak{s}'_{n_1})\times(H'_{n_2},\mathfrak{s}'_{n_2}).$$

Here

$$\mathrm{GL}^{\sigma}_m(E)_B:=\left\{h\in\mathrm{GL}_m(E);\ hB=B\bar{h}\right\},$$
$$\mathfrak{gl}^{\sigma}_m(E)_B:=\left\{X\in\mathfrak{gl}_m(E);\ X\bar{B}=B\bar{X}\right\},$$

and $\mathrm{GL}^{\sigma}_m(E)_B$ acts $\mathfrak{gl}^{\sigma}_m(E)_B$ the space by σ-twisted conjugation.

Proof. See [Gu1] for the first assertion. The second can be proved by a direct computation. □

Proposition 4.7. 1. *Each semisimple element Y of $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$ is H'-conjugate to an element of the form*

$$Y(A)=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \gamma B & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \bar{B} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}$$

where $A\in\mathrm{GL}_m(F)$ is semisimple such that $A\in\gamma\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{GL}_m(E))$ and $B\in\mathrm{GL}_m(E)$ is a fixed matrix such that $A=\gamma B\bar{B}$. Moreover, $Y(A)$ is regular if and only if $A\in\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is regular.

2. *Let $Y=Y(A)$ in $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$ be semisimple. Then its descendant $(H'_Y,\mathfrak{s}'_Y)$ is isomorphic to the product (as a representation)*

$$(\mathrm{GL}^{\sigma}_m(E)_B,\mathfrak{gl}^{\sigma}_m(E)_B)\times(H'_{n-m},\mathfrak{s}'_{n-m}).$$

Proof. See [Gu2] for the first assertion. The second assertion can be proved by a direct computation. □

Nilpotent cone Fix $X_0 \neq 0$ in the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$. Still let (X_0, d, Y_0) be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple as before. It is also true that $\dim \mathfrak{s}'_{Y_0} = \dim \mathfrak{h}'_{X_0} =: r$. Denote $m = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ad}(-\mathrm{d})|_{\mathfrak{s}'_{Y_0}}\right)$. We still want to compare $r+m$ with $n^2+\frac{n}{2}$, which is easier in this case.

Proposition 4.8. *We have* $r + m > n^2 + \frac{n}{2}$ *and* $m' < n^2$ *where* $m' = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ad}(-\mathrm{d})|_{\mathfrak{h}'_{Y_0}}\right)$.

Proof. Write $Y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma A \\ \bar{A} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Changing (X_0, d, Y_0) to any triple in the H'-orbit of (X_0, d, Y_0), r and m is unchanged. By [Gu2, Lemma 2.2], we can choose A to be in the Jordan normal form. At the same time, we can also choose d to be in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$. In such situation, it is easy to see that there is a d-equivariant isomorphism $\mathfrak{s}'_{Y_0} \simeq \mathfrak{h}'_{Y_0}$. Thus $r = r'$ and $m = m'$, where $r' = \dim \mathfrak{h}'_{Y_0}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}'_{Y_0} = \mathfrak{h}'_{Y_0} \oplus \mathfrak{s}'_{Y_0}$, we have $m + m' = \frac{1}{2}\left(4n^2 - r - r'\right)$. Thus we get $m = \frac{1}{4}\left(4n^2 - 2r\right)$ and $r + m = n^2 + \frac{r}{2}$. The inequality $r \geq 2n$ implies the lemma. ☐

5 Transfer

In this section, we will introduce the main problem concerned in this article: transfers of Schwartz functions on different symmetric spaces, which are determined by orbital integrals. Theorem 5.7 is the main theorem of this article. We will prove it under the assumption of the results that will be proved in the future sections.

5.1 Definitions

Matching of orbits We first recall the matching of orbits on symmetric spaces S and S', and also on Lie algebras $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{s}'$.

Proposition 5.1.

1. *For each semisimple element y of S', there exists $h \in H(E)$ such that hyh^{-1} belongs to S. This establishes an injection of the H'-semisimple orbits in S' into the H-semisimple orbits in S, which carries the orbit of $y(A, n_1, n_2)$ in S' to the orbit of $x(A, n_1, n_2)$ in S.*

2. *For each semisimple element Y of $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$, there exists $h \in H(E)$ such that hYh^{-1} belongs to $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. This establishes an injection of the H'-semisimple orbits in $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$ into the H-semisimple orbits in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, which carries the orbit of $Y(A)$ in $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$ to the orbit of $X(A)$ in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$.*

Proof. See [Gu1] for the first assertion, and the second can be proved in the same way. $\square$

Definition 5.2. We say $y \in S'$ (resp. $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(F)$) matches $x \in S$ (resp. $X \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$) and write $x \leftrightarrow y$ (resp. $X \leftrightarrow Y$) if the above map sends the orbit of y (resp. Y) to the orbit of x (resp. X).

We denote by $\mathrm{N}(S'_{\mathrm{rs}})$ the subset of S consisting of elements x such that there is $y \in S'_{\mathrm{rs}}$ such that $x \leftrightarrow y$. Then $\mathrm{N}(S'_{\mathrm{rs}})$ is an open set of S. Similarly, we can define $\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F))$, which is also an open set of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$.

Transfer factors To state our results on transfer, we need to define transfer factors for the symmetric pair $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ and its descendants. In general, the transfer factor is defined as follows.

Definition 5.3. Let a reductive group $\mathbf{H}$ act on an affine variety $\mathbf{X}$, both defined over F. Suppose for all regular semisimple $x \in X = \mathbf{X}(F)$, the stabilizer H_x is trivial. Let η be a quadratic character of H. Then a transfer factor is a smooth function $\kappa : X_{\mathrm{rs}} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\kappa(x^h) = \eta(h)\kappa(x)$.

For convenience, we now give an explicit definition of transfer factors as following:

1. type (H, S): for $x = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in S$ regular semisimple, define $\kappa(x) := \eta(\det(B))$;
2. type $(H_n, \mathfrak{s}_n)$: for $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{s}_n(F)$ regular semisimple, define $\kappa(X) := \eta(\det(A))$;
3. type $(\mathrm{GL}_m(F)_A, \mathfrak{gl}_m(F)_A)$: we define κ to be the constant function with value 1.

In the cases (1) and (2), η is the non-trivial quadratic character on $F^\times$ associated to E, while in the case (3) η is the trivial character.

Transfer Now we can give a definition of transfer and state the main result of the article.

Definition 5.4. For $x \in S_{\mathrm{rs}}$ (resp. $x \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$) and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S)$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$), we define the orbital integral to be

$$O^\eta(x, f) := \int_{H_x \backslash H} f(x^h)\eta(h) \, \mathrm{d}h.$$

For $y \in S'_{\mathrm{rs}}$ (resp. $y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$) and $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S')$ (resp. $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$), we define the orbital integral to be

$$O(y, f) := \int_{H'_y \backslash H'} f(x^h) \, \mathrm{d}h.$$

Definition 5.5. For $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S')$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S)$, we say f is a transfer of f' if for each $x \in S_{\mathrm{rs}}$

$$\kappa(x) O^\eta(x, f) = \begin{cases} O(y, f'), & \text{if there is } y \in S'_{\mathrm{rs}} \text{ such that } x \leftrightarrow y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we can define transfer for $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ with respect to the transfer factor κ.

Remark 5.6. For $x \in S$ semisimple and $y \in S'$ semisimple such that $x \leftrightarrow y$, we can also define transfer from $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'_y(F))$ to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}_x(F))$ determined by the orbital integral with respect to the action of H_x on $\mathfrak{s}_x(F)$, the action of H'_y on $\mathfrak{s}'_y(F)$, and the transfer factor κ defined as above. Similarly, for $X \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$ semisimple and $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(F)$ semisimple, we can also define transfer from $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'_Y(F))$ to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}_X(F))$.

Theorem 5.7. *For each $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S')$, there exists an $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S)$ such that f is a transfer of f'.*

We will show later that this theorem is implied by the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8. *For each $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$, there exists an $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ such that f is a transfer of f'.*

5.2 Fundamental lemma

In this subsection, we will prove the following fundamental lemma, which is an important example of transfer and also a crucial lemma for us to prove Theorem 5.8 by using global method.

Now assume that $\gamma = 1$, F is of odd residual characteristic and E is unramified over F. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ and $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ be the characteristic function of the standard lattices

$$L = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{O}_F), \quad L' = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{O}_E)$$

respectively.

Lemma 5.9. *Notations are as above. Then for an element X in $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, we have*

$$\kappa(X)O^\eta(X,f) = \begin{cases} O(Y,f'), & \text{if there is } Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F) \text{ such that } X \leftrightarrow Y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other words, f is a transfer of f'.

Remark 5.10. The group version of the above fundamental lemma was proved in [Gu1], and actually our result can be deduced from the proof of that.

Proof. It suffices to consider X of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ being regular semisimple. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} O^\eta(X,f) &= \int_{H_X(F)\backslash H(F)} f(h_1^{-1}h_2, h_2^{-1}Ah_1)\eta(h_1h_2)\,\mathrm{d}h_1\,\mathrm{d}h_2 \\ &= \int_{(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)_A\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(F))\times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f(h_2, h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}Ah_1)\eta(h_2)\,\mathrm{d}h_2\,\mathrm{d}h_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ and $K' = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_E)$. For $r = (r_{i,j}) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\bar{F})$, put $|r| = \max_{i,j}|r_{i,j}|_F$. Then for $r, t \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$, $f(r,t) \neq 0$ if and only if $|r| \leq 1, |t| \leq 1$. Let Φ_A be the characteristic function of the set of $(r,t) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ satisfying $|r| \leq 1, |t| \leq 1$ and $|\det(rt)|_F = |\det A|_F$. Then $\Phi_A \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathrm{GL}_n(F) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ and bi-K-invariant for both r and t. Let Ψ_A be the function on $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ defined by

$$\Psi_A(g) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \Phi_A(h, h^{-1}g)\eta(h)\,\mathrm{d}h.$$

Then $\Psi_A \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, bi-$K$-invariant (that is, Ψ_A is a Hecke function), and

$$O^\eta(X,f) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)_A\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \Psi_A(g^{-1}Ag)\,\mathrm{d}g.$$

If $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ \bar{B} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, We have

$$O(Y,f') = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n^\sigma(E)_B\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(E)} f'(h^{-1}B\bar{h})\,\mathrm{d}h.$$

Let Ψ_B be the characteristic function of the set of $r \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ satisfying $|r| \leq 1$ and $|\det r|_F = |\det B|_F$. Then $\Psi_B \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathrm{GL}_n(E))$, bi-$K'$-invariant, and

$$O(Y,f') = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n^\sigma(E)_B\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(E)} \Psi_B(h^{-1}B\bar{h})\,\mathrm{d}h.$$

Denote by

$$\mathrm{bc} : \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_n(E), K') \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K)$$

the base change map between two spaces of Hecke functions. Then, in fact, it was shown in [Gu1, Corollary 3.7] (can be read off from the proof of Proposition 3.7 loc. cit.) that $\Psi_A = 0$ if $A \notin \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{GL}_n(E))$, and $\Psi_A = \mathrm{bc}(\Psi_B)$ if $A = B\bar{B}$. Hence the lemma follows. □

5.3 Reduction steps

The main aim of this subsection is to reduce the existence of transfer for symmetric spaces to the existence of local transfer around 0 for Lie algebras. The reduction steps here are similar as the process of [Zh1, Section 3].

Descent of orbital integrals The following result essentially is [Zh1, Proposition 3.11], whose proof is also valid here.

Proposition 5.11. *Let X be any one of $S, S', \mathfrak{s}(F)$ or $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$. Let $x \in X$ be semisimple and let (U_x, p, ψ, Z_x, N_x) be an analytic Luna slice at x. Then there exists a neighborhood $\xi \subset \psi(p^{-1}(x))$ of 0 in N_x with the following properties:*

1. *for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$, we may associated to an $f_x \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(N_x)$ such that for all semisimple $z \in \xi$ with $z = \psi(y)$ such that $\eta|_{H_y} = \mathbf{1}$ we have*

$$\int_{H_y(F)\backslash H(F)} f(y^h)\eta(h)\mathrm{d}h = \int_{H_y(F)\backslash H_x(F)} f_x(z^h)\eta(h)\mathrm{d}h;$$

2. *and conversely, for each $f_x \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(N_x)$, we may find an f in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ such that above equality holds for all semisimple $z \in \xi$ such that $\eta|_{H_y} = 1$.*

Here $H = H'$ and $\eta = \mathbf{1}$ when X is in S' or $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$.

Reduction to local transfer Denote by $\mathcal{Q}$ the common base $\mathcal{S}/\mathbf{H} \simeq \mathcal{S}'/\mathbf{H}'$ as an affine variety, and denote by $\mathfrak{q}$ the common base $\mathfrak{s}/\mathbf{H} \simeq \mathfrak{s}'/\mathbf{H}'$ as an affine variety. We identify. Denote by π for all the natural projection maps, for example, $\pi : S \to \mathcal{Q}(F)$, $\pi : \mathfrak{s}(F) \to \mathfrak{q}(F)$.

Definition 5.12. Let X be any one of $S, S', \mathfrak{s}(F)$ or $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$, and let Q be $\mathcal{Q}(F)$ or $\mathfrak{q}(F)$ according to X.

1. Let Φ be a function on Q_{rs} which vanishes outside a compact set of Q_{rs}. For $x \in Q$, we say that Φ is a local orbital integral around x, if there exists a neighborhood U of x and a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ such that for all $y \in U_{\mathrm{rs}}$ and z with $\pi(z) = y$ we have
$$\Phi(y) = \kappa(z) O^\eta(z, f).$$
2. For $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$, define a function $\pi_*(f)$ on Q_{rs} to be:
$$\pi_*(f)(x) = \begin{cases} \kappa(y) O^\eta(y, f), & \text{if } x \in \pi(X),\ y \in \pi^{-1}(x), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\kappa = \mathbf{1}$ and $\eta = \mathbf{1}$ when we consider S' or $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$.

The following result is [Zh1, Proposition 3.8].

Proposition 5.13. *Notations are as above. Let Φ be a function on Q_{rs} which vanishes outside a compact set Ξ of Q. If Φ is a local orbital integral at each $x \in \Xi$, it is an orbital integral. Namely there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ such that for all $y \in Q_{\mathrm{rs}}$, and z with $\pi(z) = y$ we have*
$$\Phi(y) = \kappa(z) O^\eta(z, f).$$

Definition 5.14. For $x \in \mathcal{Q}(F)$ (resp. $x \in \mathfrak{q}(F)$), we say that the local transfer around x exists, if for each $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S')$ (resp. $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$), there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S)$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$) such that in a neighborhood of x, the following equality holds:
$$\pi_*(f) = \pi_*(f').$$

Corollary 5.15. *To prove Theorem 5.7 or Theorem 5.8, it suffices to prove the existence of local transfers around all semisimple elements of $\mathcal{Q}(F)$ or $\mathfrak{q}(F)$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.13 and the fact that $\mathcal{Q}(F) = \bigcup_{x \text{ semisimple}} U_x$ and $\mathfrak{q}(F) = \bigcup_{x \text{ semisimple}} U_x$, where U_x is any neighborhood of x. ☐

Reduction to local transfer around zero

Lemma 5.16. *To prove the existence of local transfer around a semisimple element $z \in \mathcal{Q}(F)$, it suffices to prove the existence of transfer for the sliced representations $\left(H_x, N_{Hx,x}^S\right)$ and $\left(H'_y, N_{H'y,y}^{S'}\right)$ where $x \in S, y \in S'$ such that $\pi(x) = \pi(y) = z$. The same result holds for local transfer with respect to $\mathfrak{q}$.*

Proof. This result partially follows from Proposition 5.11 and the fact that for $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S')$ the function $\pi_*(f')$ is locally constant on Q'_{rs} and the similar fact for $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S)$. The left is to prove Lemma 5.19 ahead, which shows the compatibility of transfer factors under descent. $\square$

Lemma 5.17. *1. Given $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_m(F)$ semisimple such that $A^2 - \mathbf{1}_m = \gamma B\bar{B}, AB = BA$ with $B \in \mathrm{GL}_m(F)$, the transfer holds for the sliced representations*

$$(\mathrm{GL}_m(F)_A, \mathfrak{gl}_m(F)_A) \text{ and } (\mathrm{GL}_m(E)_A \cap \mathrm{GL}_m^\sigma(E)_B, \mathfrak{gl}_m(E)_A \cap \mathfrak{gl}_m^\sigma(E)_B).$$

2. Given $A \in \mathrm{GL}_m(F)$ semisimple such that $A = \gamma B\bar{B}$ with $B \in \mathrm{GL}_m(E)$, the transfer holds for the sliced representations

$$(\mathrm{GL}_m(F)_A, \mathfrak{gl}_m(F)_A) \text{ and } (\mathrm{GL}_m^\sigma(E)_B, \mathfrak{gl}_m^\sigma(E)_B)$$

Proof. We prove the second assertion, while the first assertion can be proved similarly. We can assume A is of the form $\mathrm{diag}(A_1, A_2, ..., A_k)$ such that

$$\mathrm{GL}_m(F)_A = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(F_i),$$

where $F_i = F[A_i]$ is a field and A_i is in the center of $\mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(F_i)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $L_i = E \otimes_F F_i$. Since $A \in \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{GL}_m(E))$, there exists $B_i \in \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(L_i)$ such that $A_i = \mathrm{N}(B_i)$ for each i. We can choose B to be $\mathrm{diag}(B_1, B_2, ..., B_k)$. Then $\mathrm{GL}^\sigma_{m_i}(L_i)_{B_i}$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(F_i)$, and $\mathrm{GL}_m^\sigma(E)_B = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{GL}^\sigma_{m_i}(L_i)_{B_i}$. For $X \in \mathfrak{gl}_m^\sigma(E)_B$, it is easy to see that $X\bar{B} \in \mathfrak{gl}_m(E)_{B,\sigma}$, where

$$\mathfrak{gl}_m(E)_{B,\sigma} = \left\{Y \in \mathfrak{gl}_m(E); YB = B\bar{Y}\right\},$$

which is the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_m^\sigma(E)_B$. For $X \in \mathfrak{gl}_m^\sigma(E)_B$ and $h \in \mathrm{GL}_m^\sigma(E)_B$, we also have $h^{-1}X\bar{h}\bar{B} = h^{-1}X\bar{B}h$. Therefore, by timing $\bar{B}$ we get a $\mathrm{GL}_m^\sigma(E)_B$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{gl}_m^\sigma(E)_B \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_m(E)_{B,\sigma},$$

where $\mathrm{GL}_m^\sigma(E)$ acts on $\mathfrak{gl}_m(E)_{B,\sigma}$ by conjugation. Since the transfer between $\mathrm{GL}_m(F_i)$ and its inner forms is known, we completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 5.18. *To prove the existence of local transfers around all semisimple elements of $\mathcal{Q}(F)$, it suffices to prove the existence of the local transfer around zero.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.16 and 5.17 above, and the classification of all the sliced representations (or descendants) for $(H, S), (H', S'), (H, \mathfrak{s})$ and $(H', \mathfrak{s}')$. $\square$

Explicit analytic Luna slices We now describe explicit analytic Luna slices at semisimple elements of S or $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, which actually can be read off from [JR].

First let $X \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$ be semisimple. Write $\mathfrak{s}(F) = \mathfrak{s}_X \oplus \mathfrak{s}_X^{\perp}$, where $\mathfrak{s}_X^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{s}_X$ in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Set

$$Z = \left\{ \xi \in \mathfrak{s}_X;\ \det\left([\mathrm{ad}(X+\xi)^2]|_{\mathfrak{s}_X^{\perp}} \right) \neq 0 \right\},$$

which is a non-empty open set of $\mathfrak{s}_X$ and invariant under H_X. Let $Z_X = \{X + \xi;\ \xi \in Z\}$, and consider the map

$$\phi : H \times Z_X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s}(F), \quad (h, X+\xi) \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}h(X+\xi),$$

which is everywhere submersive. Let U_X be the image of ϕ, which is an open H-invariant set in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Then Z_X and U_X is what we want, and ψ is the natural map:

$$\psi : Z_X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s}_X, \quad X + \xi \mapsto \xi.$$

Next let $x \in S$ be semisimple. Write $x = s(g)$ for some $g \in G$, where s is the symmetrization map. Consider the map

$$\phi : H \times G_x \times H \longrightarrow G, \quad (h, \xi, h') \mapsto h\xi g h',$$

and let $\mathcal{Z}'$ be the set of ξ such that ϕ is submersive at $(1, \xi, 1)$, which is also the set of ξ in G_x such that

$$\det\left([\mathbf{1} - \mathrm{Ad}s(\xi g)]|_{\mathfrak{g}_x^{\perp}} \right) \neq 0.$$

Let

$$W' = \{X \in \mathfrak{s}_x;\ \det(\mathbf{1} + X)\det(\mathbf{1} - X) \neq 0\},$$

which is an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathfrak{s}_x$. Consider the Cayley transform

$$\lambda : W' \longrightarrow G_x, \quad X \mapsto (\mathbf{1} - X)(\mathbf{1} + X)^{-1},$$

and denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the image of W' under λ. Put $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}' \cap \mathcal{V}$ and $W = \lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$. Let U_x be the image of $\phi(H \times \mathcal{Z} \times H)$ under the symmetrization map s, and Z_x be the image of $\phi(\mathbf{1} \times \mathcal{Z} \times \mathbf{1})$ under s. Then Z_x and U_x is what we want.

Lemma 5.19. *Let $x \in S$ (resp. $X \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$) be semisimple. Then we may choose an H_x-invariant (resp. H_X-invariant) neighborhood of x (resp. X) such that for any regular semisimple y in this neighborhood, $\kappa(y)$ is equal to a non-zero constant times $\kappa(\psi(y))$.*

This lemma follows from the above construction and a direct computation by choosing $x = x(A, n_1, n_2)$ and $X = X(A)$ in a standard form. We omit the proof here.

5.4 Proof of Theorem 5.7

Now we can prove Theorem 5.7 with the help of the following two theorems. The the whole left of the article is aimed to prove the first one. We define the Fourier transform $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$) with respect to the fixed bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ and additive character ψ.

Theorem 5.20. *There exists a constant $c \neq 0$ satisfying that: if $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ is a transfer of $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$, we have*

$$\kappa(X)O^\eta(X, \widehat{f}) = c \cdot O(Y, \widehat{f'})$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F), Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$, in other words, $\widehat{f} \cdot \mathbf{1}_V$ is a transfer of $c\widehat{f'}$ where V is $\mathfrak{s}(F) - \mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F))$.

Theorem 5.21. *Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the nilpotent cone of either $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ or $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$.*

1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{s}(F))^{H,\eta}$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}(T) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathrm{Supp}(\widehat{T}) \subset \mathcal{N}$. Then $T = 0$.*
2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{s}'(F))^{H'}$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}(T) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathrm{Supp}(\widehat{T}) \subset \mathcal{N}$. Then $T = 0$.*

Proof. The first assertion is proved in [JR, Proposition 3.1] when η is the trivial character, while the same proof goes through for the quadratic character η. The same proof is also valid for the second assertion, noting the relation $m' < n^2$ in Proposition 4.8. ☐

The following corollary is a direct consequence of the above theorem (cf. [Zh1, Corollary 4.20]).

Corollary 5.22.

1. *Let $\mathcal{C}_0 = \cap_T \ker(T)$ where T runs over all (H, η)-invariant distributions. Then each $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ can be written as*

$$f = f_0 + f_1 + \widehat{f_2},$$

with $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F) - \mathcal{N})$, $i = 1, 2$.

2. *Let $\mathcal{C}_0 = \cap_T \ker(T)$ where T runs over all H'-invariant distributions. Then each $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ can be written as*

$$f = f_0 + f_1 + \widehat{f_2},$$

with $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F) - \mathcal{N})$, $i = 1, 2$.

Finally we can prove Theorem 5.7.

Proof. By the several reduction steps before, we can show the existence of transfer for $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F) - \mathcal{N})$. By the above corollary, it suffices to show the existence of transfer for $\widehat{f'}$ with $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F) - \mathcal{N})$, which is guaranteed by Theorem 5.20. □

6 Representability

For $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, it's more convenient to consider the normalized orbital

$$I^\eta(X, f) := |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|^{\frac{1}{2}} O^\eta(X, f) \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F)).$$

Similarly, for $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, we consider the normalized orbital integral

$$I(Y, f') := |D^{\mathfrak{s}'}(Y)|^{\frac{1}{2}} O(Y, f'), \quad f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F)).$$

It's no harm to consider transfer with respect to normalized orbital integrals instead of orbital integrals, since if $X \leftrightarrow Y$ it's not hard to see that $|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)| = |D^{\mathfrak{s}'}(Y)|$. The Fourier transform of the orbital integral I_X^η is defined to be

$$\widehat{I^\eta}(X, f) = I^\eta(X, \widehat{f}).$$

For $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, we define $\widehat{I}_Y$ similarly.

To prove Theorem 5.20, we first need to study the Fourier transform of orbital integrals. In this section, we will prove the following fundamental theorem on the representability of $\widehat{I}_X^\eta$ and $\widehat{I}_Y$.

Theorem 6.1.

1. *For each $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, there is a locally constant H-invariant function $\widehat{i}_X^\eta$ defined on $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ which is locally integrable on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ we have*

$$\widehat{I^\eta}(X, f) = \int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} \widehat{i}_X^\eta(Y) \kappa(Y) f(Y) |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y)|_F^{-1/2} \, \mathrm{d}Y.$$

2. *For each $X \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, there is a locally constant H'-invariant function $\widehat{i}_X$ defined on $\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ which is locally integrable on $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$, such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ we have*

$$\widehat{I}(X, f) = \int_{\mathfrak{s}'(F)} \widehat{i}_X(Y) f(Y) |D^{\mathfrak{s}'}(Y)|_F^{-1/2} \, \mathrm{d}Y.$$

We will also denote by $\widehat{i}^{\eta}(X,Y)$ (resp. $\widehat{i}(X,Y)$) for $\widehat{i}^{\eta}_X(Y)$ (resp. $\widehat{i}_X(Y)$), which is viewed as a function on $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F) \times \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F) \times \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$). Then it is not hard to see that $\widehat{i}^{\eta}(X,Y)$ (resp. $\widehat{i}(X,Y)$) is locally constant on $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)\times\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)\times\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$), (H,η)-invariant (resp. H'-invariant) on the first variable and H-invariant (resp. H'-invariant) on the second variable. Our method to prove this theorem is an analogue of [HC1] and [HC2]. We will only prove the assertion for $\widehat{I}^{\eta}_X$, while the assertion for $\widehat{I}_X$ can be proved in the same way and is leaved to the reader.

6.1 Reduction to elliptic case

In this subsection we will reduce the question of representability of $\widehat{I}_X$ to that of elliptic $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$. For $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, we say X is elliptic if AB is elliptic in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be a semisimple regular element in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Write $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{s}^-$, and canonically identify $\mathfrak{s}^{\pm} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ (viewed as space of matrices). Suppose that X is not elliptic which amounts to say A is not elliptic. Then $A \in \mathfrak{r}^-(F) \subset \mathfrak{s}^-(F)$, where $\mathfrak{r}^- = \mathfrak{m}_0$ is the Lie algebra of a maximal Levi subgroup $\mathbf{M}_0$ of a proper parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P}_0 \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$. Let $\mathbf{U}_0$ be the unipotent subgroup of $\mathbf{P}_0$, and $\mathfrak{u}_0$ be its Lie algebra. Then there is a decomposition $\mathfrak{s}^- = (\mathfrak{r}^- \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}^-)$, where $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^- = \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_0$. By identifying $\mathfrak{s}^+ = \mathfrak{s}^- = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, we have $\mathfrak{s}^+ = (\mathfrak{r}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$ where $\mathfrak{r}^+ = \mathfrak{m}_0$, $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+ = \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_0$. Note that $\mathfrak{r} := \mathfrak{r}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{r}^-$ is isomorphic to a product of $\mathfrak{s}_{n_i}$ with $\sum n_i = n$. Denote $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+ \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}^-$, then we have $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}})$, $\mathfrak{n}^{\perp} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ and $(\mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{n})^{\perp} = \mathfrak{n}$ under the fixed pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{s}$.

Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_0 \times \mathbf{P}_0$, which is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$. There is a Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$, with $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0 \times \mathbf{M}_0$, $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_0 \times \mathbf{U}_0$, $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0$ and $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0$. Notice that $(\mathbf{M}, \mathfrak{r}) \simeq \prod (\mathbf{H}_{n_i}, \mathfrak{s}_{n_i})$ for some $(\mathbf{H}_{n_i}, \mathfrak{s}_{n_i})$. We fix an open compact subgroup K of H such that $H = MUK$ and $\eta|_K$ is trivial. Recall that $M = \mathbf{M}(F)$ and $U = \mathbf{U}(F)$. We choose the measure here so that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(H)$,

$$\int_H f(h)\, \mathrm{d}h = \int_M \int_U \int_K f(muk)\, \mathrm{d}m\, du\, \mathrm{d}k.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$, we define $f^{\mathfrak{r}} \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{r}(F))$ to be

$$f^{\mathfrak{r}}(Y) := \int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)} f(Y+Z)\, \mathrm{d}Z,$$

define $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ to be

$$\widetilde{f}(Y) = \int_K f(Y^k)\ \mathrm{d}k,$$

and define $f^{(\mathfrak{r})} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{r}(F))$ to be

$$f^{(\mathfrak{r})} := \left(\widetilde{f}\right)^{\mathfrak{r}}.$$

Let $T_{\mathfrak{r}}$ be a distribution on $\mathfrak{r}(F)$. Define a distribution $i_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\mathfrak{s}}(T_{\mathfrak{r}})$ on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ as the following:

$$i_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\mathfrak{s}}(T_{\mathfrak{r}})(f) := T_{\mathfrak{r}}(f^{(\mathfrak{r})}), \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F)).$$

The above process is an analogue of parabolic induction in the usual sense (cf. [HC2, §1] or [Ko, §13]), and has the similar properties.

Proposition 6.2. *1. Suppose that $T_{\mathfrak{r}}$ is an (M,η)-invariant distribution on $\mathfrak{r}(F)$, then $i_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\mathfrak{s}}(T_{\mathfrak{r}})$ is an (H,η)-invariant distribution on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$.*

2. We have $i_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\mathfrak{s}}(I_X^{\eta,M}) = I_X^{\eta,H}$.

3. Suppose that $T_{\mathfrak{r}}$ is an (M,η)-invariant distribution on $\mathfrak{r}(F)$, which is represented by a locally integrable function $F_{\mathfrak{r}}$ on $\mathfrak{r}(F)$. Then the distribution $i_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\mathfrak{s}}(T_{\mathfrak{r}})$ is represented by a locally integrable function

$$F_{\mathfrak{s}}(X) = |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_Y |D^{\mathfrak{r}}(Y)|_F^{\frac{1}{2}} F_{\mathfrak{r}}(Y)$$

on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Here the sum is taken over a set of representatives for the M-conjugacy classes of elements $Y \in \mathfrak{r}(F)$ such that Y is H-conjugate to X.

4. The map $f \mapsto f^{(\mathfrak{r})}$ commutes with the Fourier transform, and therefore $i_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\widehat{T_{\mathfrak{r}}}) = \widehat{i_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\mathfrak{s}}(T_{\mathfrak{r}})}$.

Proof. (1) For $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ and $h \in H$, define ${}^h f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ by ${}^h f(Y) = f(Y^h)$. To prove (1), it suffices to observe the following relation: for $p = mu \in P$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{r}(F)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}({}^p f)^{\mathfrak{r}}(Y) &= \int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)} {}^p f(Y+Z)\ \mathrm{d}Z = \int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)} f(Y^p + Z^p)\ \mathrm{d}Z \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)} f(Y^m + Z^p)\ \mathrm{d}Z \\ &= |\det(\mathrm{Ad}(p);\mathfrak{n})|_F \int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)} f(Y^m + Z)\ \mathrm{d}Z.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$|\det(\mathrm{Ad}(p);\mathfrak{n})|_F = |\det(\mathrm{Ad}(p);\mathfrak{u})|_F = \delta_P(p).$$

Therefore $({}^p f)^{\mathfrak{r}}(Y) = \delta_P(p) f^{\mathfrak{r}}(Y^m)$. The remaining arguments are routine.

(2). Write $T = H_X$ for simplicity. For $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{T\backslash H} f(X^h)\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}h &= \int_{T\backslash M}\int_U\int_K f(X^{muk})\eta(m)\ \mathrm{d}k\ \mathrm{d}u\ \mathrm{d}m\\ &= \int_{T\backslash M}\int_U \widetilde{f}(X^{mu})\eta(m)\ \mathrm{d}u\ \mathrm{d}m.\end{aligned}$$

Write $Y = X^m$. Note that the map

$$\alpha : \mathbf{U} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}, \quad u \mapsto u^{-1}Yu - Y$$

is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties, whose Jacobian is

$$|\det(\varrho\circ\mathrm{ad}(Y);\mathfrak{u})|_F.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}|\det(\varrho\circ\mathrm{ad}(Y);\mathfrak{u})|_F &= |\det(\varrho\circ\mathrm{ad}(Y);\mathfrak{u}\oplus\bar{\mathfrak{u}})|_F^{1/2}\\ &= \frac{|\det(\varrho\circ\mathrm{ad}(Y);\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{t})|_F^{1/2}}{|\det(\varrho\circ\mathrm{ad}(Y);\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t})|_F^{1/2}}\\ &= \frac{|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y)|_F^{1/2}}{|D^{\mathfrak{r}}(Y)|_F^{1/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}I^{\eta,H}(X,f) &= \int_{T\backslash M}\int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)} |D^{\mathfrak{r}}(X^m)|_F^{1/2}\widetilde{f}(X^m+Z)\eta(m)\ \mathrm{d}Z\ \mathrm{d}m\\ &= |D^{\mathfrak{r}}(X)|_F^{1/2}\int_{T\backslash M} f^{(\mathfrak{r})}(X)\eta(m)\ \mathrm{d}m\\ &= I^{\eta,M}(X,f^{(\mathfrak{r})}).\end{aligned}$$

The assertion (3) is a consequence of Weyl integration formula, and the assertion (4) is easy to see. □

As the usual case, we call $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ a cusp form if $f^{\mathfrak{r}} = 0$ for every $\mathfrak{r} \subsetneq \mathfrak{s}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}$ be the open subset of elliptic regular semisimple elements in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Suppose that $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}})$. Then $\phi^{(\mathfrak{r})}$ and $(\widehat{\phi})^{(\mathfrak{r})}$ are identically zero, and moreover, for any regular semisimple $X \in \mathfrak{r}(F) \subsetneq \mathfrak{s}(F)$ the integral $I^\eta(X,\widehat{\phi})$ vanishes.

6.2 Application of Howe's finiteness theorem

The Howe's finiteness theorem in our case is also true. We now briefly recall it. If ω is a compact open set in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, put

$$\mathcal{J}(\omega)^{\eta} = \{T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{s})^{H,\eta} \ ; \ \mathrm{Supp}(T) \subset \mathrm{cl}(\omega^{H})\}.$$

Let $L \subset \mathfrak{s}(F)$ be a lattice (a compact open $\mathcal{O}_F$-submodule) and let $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathfrak{s}(F)/L)$ be the space of $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ which is invariant under translation by L. Let $j_L : \mathcal{J}(\omega)^{\eta} \to \mathcal{C}_c(\mathfrak{s}(F)/L)^{*}$ be the composition of the maps:

$$j_L : \mathcal{J}(\omega)^{\eta} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{s}(F)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} \mathcal{C}_c(\mathfrak{s}(F)/L)^{*},$$

where $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathfrak{s}(F)/L)^{*}$ denotes the vector space dual to $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathfrak{s}(F)/L)$. Then Howe's finiteness theorem is

Theorem 6.3. *For any lattice L in $\mathfrak{s}$ and any compact set ω,*

$$\dim j_L(\mathcal{J}(\omega)^{\eta}) < +\infty.$$

Proof. It is showed in [RR, Theorem 6.1] that the Howe's finiteness theorem holds for a more general situation when $\eta = \mathbf{1}$. It is not hard to check that it still holds when η is our quadratic character. □

The following variants of Howe's theorem is often used, and we refer the readers to [Ko, §26] for more details. Let $\widehat{j}_L : \mathcal{J}(\omega)^{\eta} \to \mathcal{D}(L)$ be the composition of the maps

$$\widehat{j}_L : \mathcal{J}(\omega)^{\eta} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{s}(F)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{s}(F)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} \mathcal{D}(L),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform.

Theorem 6.4. *For any lattice L in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ and any compact set ω,*

$$\dim \widehat{j}_L(\mathcal{J}(\omega)^{\eta}) < +\infty.$$

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 6.5. *Let ω be compact, and let V be a subspace of $\mathcal{J}(\omega)^{\eta}$. Let L be any lattice in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Then $\widehat{j}_L(V) = \widehat{j}_L(\mathrm{cl}(V))$.*

Now we can prove the theorem. By Proposition 6.2, it suffices to show that $\widehat{I}_X^{\eta}$ is represented by a locally constant function in the case that X lies in $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ for some elliptic Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{s}$. Let T be the centralizer of $\mathfrak{c}$ in H, and Z be the center of G which is also contained in T. Then $Z\backslash T$

is compact since X is elliptic. We require $\mathrm{vol}(Z\backslash T)=1$ here, which doesn't matter. Denote $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\mathfrak{c}}=(\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F))^H$ as before, which is an open H-invariant subset of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. For $\phi\in\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\mathfrak{c}})$, define a distribution $I_\phi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ to be

$$I_\phi(f)=\int_{Z\backslash H}\int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} f(Y)\phi(Y^h)\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}Y\ \mathrm{d}h.$$

This distribution is well defined:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{Z\backslash H}\int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)}|f(Y)\phi(Y^h)|\ \mathrm{d}Y\ \mathrm{d}h\\ =&\int_{Z\backslash H}\mathrm{d}h\left(\int_{\mathfrak{c}(F)}|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y)|\mathrm{d}Y\int_{Z\backslash H}|f(Y^{h'})|\cdot|\phi(Y^{h'h})|\ \mathrm{d}h'\right)\\ =&\int_{\mathfrak{c}(F)}|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y)|\ \mathrm{d}Y\left(\int_{Z\backslash H\times Z\backslash H}|f(Y^{h'})|\cdot|\phi(Y^h)|\ \mathrm{d}h'\ \mathrm{d}h\right)\\ =&\int_{\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)} I\left(Y,|f|\right)\cdot I(Y,|\phi|)\ \mathrm{d}Y<\infty,\end{aligned}$$

since $I(Y,|\phi|)\in\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F))$. Here $I(\cdot,f)$ is the usual normalized orbital integral without twisted by η.

Theorem 6.6. *We have $\widehat{I_\phi}=I_{\widehat{\phi}}$, and $I_{\widehat{\phi}}$ can be represented by a locally integrable function on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ which is locally constant on $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$.*

We have the relation

$$\int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} f(Y)\widehat{\phi}(Y^h)\ \mathrm{d}Y=\int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)}\widehat{f}(Y)\phi(Y^h)\ \mathrm{d}Y.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{Z\backslash H}\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}h\left(\int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} f(Y)\widehat{\phi}(Y^h)\ \mathrm{d}Y\right)\\ =&\int_{Z\backslash H}\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}h\left(\int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)}\widehat{f}(Y)\phi(Y^h)\ \mathrm{d}Y\right),\end{aligned}$$

(that is, $I_{\widehat{\phi}}=\widehat{I_\phi}$) by the absolute convergence of the right one. We will prove the assertion about the representability of $I_{\widehat{\phi}}$ later.

Lemma 6.7. *Let ω be a compact open neighborhood of X in $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$. Then given a lattice L in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, there exists a $\phi\in\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega^H)$ such that $\widehat{I_X^\eta}$ and $\widehat{I_\phi}$ have the same restriction to L.*

Proof. We first show that I_X^η lies in the closure of the linear space

$$I_\omega := \{\ I_\phi :\ \ \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega^H)\ \},$$

which is a subspace of $\mathcal{J}(\omega)^\eta$. It suffices to show that: if $I_\phi(f) = 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega^H)$ then $I_X^\eta(f) = 0$. Note that

$$I_\phi(f) = \int_\omega I_Y^\eta(f) \cdot I_Y^\eta(\phi)\ \mathrm{d}Y.$$

We may shrink ω such that every locally function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega)$ arises as $Y \mapsto I_Y^\eta(\phi)$ for some $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega)$. Thus $I_X^\eta(f) = 0$ if $I_\phi(f) = 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega^H)$. By Corollary 6.5, we see that $\widehat{j}_L(I_X^\eta) \in \widehat{j}_L(I_\omega)$ for any lattice L. In other words, given a lattice L, there exists a $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega^H)$ such that $\widehat{I}_X^\eta$ and $\widehat{I}_\phi$ have the same restriction to L. □

Finally, the Theorem 6.1 follows from the representability of $\widehat{I}_\phi$ and the fact that $\mathfrak{s}(F) = \bigcup_{\text{lattice}} L$.

6.3 Bound of orbital integrals

In this subsection, we will show the boundness of the normalized orbital integrals along a Cartan subspace, which is crucial for proving Theorem 6.6. We follow the line of the proof of [HC1, Theorem 14], where there are no Shalika germs involved.

Theorem 6.8. *1. Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a Cartan subspace of $\mathfrak{s}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$. Then*

$$\sup_{X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)} |I^\eta(X, f)| < +\infty.$$

2. Let $\mathfrak{c}'$ be a Cartan subspace of $\mathfrak{s}'$ and $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$. Then

$$\sup_{X \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)} |I(X, f')| < +\infty.$$

We will only prove the first assertion with respect to $\mathfrak{s}$, since this method is also valid for the second case.

Lemma 6.9. *Fix a compact set ω of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Then the set of all $X \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$ such that $X \in \mathrm{cl}(\omega^H)$ is relative compact in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$.*

Proof. It suffices to assume ω is closed. Consider the closed inclusion $i : (\mathfrak{c}/W)(F) \to (\mathfrak{s}/\mathbf{H})(F)$ where W is the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{c}$, and the natural map $\pi : \mathfrak{s}(F) \to (\mathfrak{s}/\mathbf{H})(F)$. Then $\pi(\omega)$ and thus $i^{-1}(\pi(\omega))$ is compact. The lemma follows from the fact that the map $\mathfrak{c}(F) \to (\mathfrak{c}/W)(F)$ is a proper map between locally compact Hausdorff spaces. □

Corollary 6.10. *For $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$, $I^\eta(X,f) = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ lying outside a compact subset of $\mathfrak{c}(F)$.*

We first prove Theorem 6.8 under the following condition.

Lemma 6.11. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ be such that $\mathrm{Supp}(f) \cap \mathcal{N} = \emptyset$. Then $I^\eta(\cdot, f)$ is bounded on $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.9 and Corollary 6.10, it suffices to prove: given $X_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$, we can choose a neighborhood V of X_0 in $\mathfrak{c}(F)$ such that

$$\sup_{X \in V'} |I^\eta(X,f)| < +\infty, \quad \text{where } V' = V \cap \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F).$$

When $X_0 \neq 0$, this follows from the descent of orbital integrals (Proposition 5.11), the induction on n, and the bound of Harish-Chandra on the usual orbital integrals. When $X_0 = 0$, since $\mathrm{Supp}(f) \cap \mathcal{N} = 0$, we can find a neighborhood V of X_0 such that $I^\eta(X,f) = 0$ on V'. ☐

Now let $\mathfrak{s}_0$ be the set of all $Y \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$ such that: there exists an open neighborhood ω of Y in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ so that $\sup_{X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)} |I^\eta(X,f)| < \infty$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ with $\mathrm{Supp}(f) \subset \omega$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is closed in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, Lemma 6.11 implies that $\mathfrak{s}(F) - \mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{s}_0$. It remains to show that $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{s}_0$. We first need some preparation.

Fix $X_0 \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{N}$. Let $(X_0, \mathrm{d}(X_0), Y_0)$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple as in Lemma 3.1. Consider the map

$$\psi : H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s}(F), \quad (h, U) \mapsto (X_0 + U)^h.$$

One can show that ψ is everywhere submersive. Denote $\omega = \psi(H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0})$, which is an open and H-invariant subset of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Since ψ is everywhere submersive, we have a surjective linear map

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega), \quad \alpha \mapsto f_\alpha$$

such that

$$\int_\omega f_\alpha(X) p(X) \, \mathrm{d}X = \int_{H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}} \alpha(h,u) p\left((X_0+U)^h\right) \, \mathrm{d}h \, \mathrm{d}U$$

for every locally integrable function p on ω.

Let Γ be the Cartan subgroup of H with the Lie algebra $F \cdot \mathrm{d}(X_0)$. Please refer to Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 4.4 for the notations below. Put $t = \xi(\gamma)$ and write $U_\gamma = \xi(\gamma) U^{\gamma^{-1}}$ for $U \in \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}, \gamma \in \Gamma$. We have

$$(X_0 + U_\gamma)^{\gamma h} = (X_0 + tU^{\gamma^{-1}})^{\gamma h} = t(X_0 + U)^h.$$

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0})$, define $\alpha' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0})$ to be

$$\alpha'(h, U) = \alpha(\gamma^{-1} h, U_{\gamma^{-1}}).$$

Lemma 6.12. *Fix $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0})$. Then*

$$f_\alpha(t^{-1}X) = |t|_F^{2n^2-r-m} f_{\alpha'}(X), \quad X \in \omega.$$

Proof. Choose any function α in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} f_\alpha(t^{-1}X)p(X) \, \mathrm{d}X \\
=&|t|_F^{2n^2} \int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} f_\alpha(X)p(tX) \, \mathrm{d}X \\
=&|t|_F^{2n^2} \int_{H\times\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}} \alpha(h,U)p\left(t(X_0+U)^h\right) \, \mathrm{d}h \, \mathrm{d}U \\
=&|t|_F^{2n^2} \int_{H\times\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}} \alpha(h,U)p\left((X_0+U_\gamma)^{\gamma h}\right) \, \mathrm{d}h \, \mathrm{d}U \\
=&|t|_F^{2n^2} \int_{H\times\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}} \alpha(\gamma^{-1}h,U_{\gamma^{-1}})p\left((X_0+U)^h\right) \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}U_{\gamma^{-1}}}{\mathrm{d}U}\right|_F \, \mathrm{d}h \, \mathrm{d}U.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to compute the Jacobian $\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}U_{\gamma^{-1}}}{\mathrm{d}U}\right|_F$. Choose a basis $U_1, ..., U_r$ of $\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$ as Lemma 3.3. Write $U = \sum_{1\le i\le r} a_i U_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{\gamma^{-1}} = t^{-1}U^\gamma &= t^{-1}\sum_i a_i U_i^\gamma \\
&= t^{-1}\sum_i a_i \xi_i(\gamma^{-1})U_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}U_{\gamma^{-1}}}{\mathrm{d}U}\right|_F = |t|_F^{-r} \prod_{1\le i\le r} |t|_F^{\frac{-\lambda_i}{2}} = |t|_F^{-r-m}.$$

This shows the lemma. ☐

For $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, there is a unique distribution τ_X^η on $\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$ such that $I^\eta(X, f_\alpha) = \tau_X^\eta(\beta_\alpha)$ where

$$\beta_\alpha(U) = \int_H \alpha(h,U)\eta(h) \, \mathrm{d}h, \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}).$$

For $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega)$, define $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega)$ to be $f'(X) = f(t^{-1}X)$. It is easy to see that

$$I^\eta(X, f') = |t|_F^{\frac{1}{2}(2n^2-n)} I^\eta(t^{-1}X, f).$$

Now fix $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0})$, and set $f = f_\alpha$, $f' = f'_\alpha$, $\beta = \beta_\alpha$ and $\beta' = \beta_{\alpha'}$. Note that

$$f' = |t|_F^{2n^2-r-m} f_{\alpha'}.$$

We have

$$\beta'(U) = \int_H \alpha(\gamma^{-1}h, U_{\gamma^{-1}})\eta(h)\, \mathrm{d}h = \eta(\gamma)\beta(t^{-1}U^\gamma), \quad U \in \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}.$$

So we obtain

$$|t|_F^{\frac{1}{2}(2n^2-n)} I^\eta(t^{-1}X, f) = I^\eta(X, f') = |t|_F^{2n^2-r-m} f_{\alpha'} = |t|_F^{2n^2-r-m} \tau_X^\eta(\beta'),$$

or

$$(1) \qquad I^\eta(t^{-1}X, f) = |t|_F^{n^2+\frac{n}{2}-r-m} \left(I^\eta(X, f) + \tau_X^\eta(\beta' - \beta)\right).$$

By Proposition 4.4, we know $n^2 + \frac{n}{2} - r - m < 0$.

Now we can continue to prove the theorem. Let $X_0 \in \mathcal{N}$, $X_0 \neq 0$. We want to construct an open neighborhood ω_0 of X_0 such that $I^\eta(\cdot, f)$ is bounded on $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ as soon as $\mathrm{Supp}(f) \subset \omega_0$. Recall that we denote by $\mathcal{N}_q$ the union of all H-orbits in $\mathcal{N}$ of dimension $\leq q$, and note that $X_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{2n^2-r}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2n^2-n} = \mathcal{N}$. So we can choose an open neighborhood ω_1 of X_0 in ω such that $\omega_1 \cap \mathcal{N}_{2n^2-r} \subset X_0^H$, and can assume $\omega_1 = \omega_1^H$. By [HC1, Lemma 37], we can choose an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of zero in $\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$ such that $X_0 + \mathcal{U} \subset \omega_1$ and $(X_0 + \mathcal{U}) \cap X_0^H = \{X_0\}$.

Fix $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\eta(\gamma) = 1$ and $|t|_F = |\xi(\gamma)|_F > 1$. Choose an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_0$ of zero in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $t^{-1}\mathcal{U}_0^\gamma \cup t\mathcal{U}_0^{\gamma^{-1}} \subset \mathcal{U}$. Put $\mathcal{N}^* = \mathcal{N} - \{0\}$.

Lemma 6.13. $\mathcal{N}^* \subset \mathfrak{s}_0$.

Proof. We induct on $r = \dim \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$ for $X_0 \in \mathcal{N}^*$. Put $\omega_0 = (X_0 + \mathcal{U}_0)^H$, which is an open invariant neighborhood of X_0. Consider the surjective map

$$H \times \mathcal{U}_0 \longrightarrow \omega_0, \quad (h, U) \mapsto (X_0 + U)^h,$$

which is everywhere submersive. Consider the surjective linear map

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \times \mathcal{U}_0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega_0), \quad \alpha \mapsto f_\alpha,$$

which is the restriction of the map $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \times \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}) \to \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega)$ as before. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega_0)$ and choose $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \times \mathcal{U}_0)$ such that $f = f_\alpha$. Denote $\beta = \beta_\alpha, \beta' = \beta_{\alpha'}$ and $f' = f'_\alpha$ as before. Then $\beta - \beta' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{U})$, and $0 \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\beta - \beta')$. Define

$\alpha_0(h,U) = \alpha_1(h)\,(\beta(U) - \beta'(U))$ for $h \in H, U \in \mathcal{U}$, where $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H)$ and $\int_H \alpha_1(h)\eta(h)\,dh = 1$. For $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, we have

$$I^\eta(X, f_{\alpha_0}) = \tau_X^\eta(\beta_{\alpha_0}) = \tau_X^\eta(\beta - \beta'),$$

and $\mathrm{Supp}(f_{\alpha_0}) \cap \mathcal{N}_{2n^2-r} = \emptyset$. Now we start the induction on $r = \dim \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$.

First assume $r = n$. In such case we have $n^2 + \frac{n}{2} - r - m = -\frac{n}{2}$ and

$$I^\eta(t^{-1}X, f) = |t|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(I^\eta(X,f) + \tau_X^\eta(\beta' - \beta)\right),$$

by (1). Put $c = |t|_F^{-\frac{n}{2}} < 1$. Since $\mathrm{Supp}(f_{\alpha_0}) \cap \mathcal{N} = \emptyset$ ($\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{2n^2-n}$), by Lemma 6.11, we have

$$a = \sup_{X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)} |\tau_X^\eta(\beta' - \beta)| < +\infty.$$

Iteration gives

$$I^\eta(t^{-d}X, f) = |t|_F^{-\frac{dn}{2}} I^\eta(X,f) + \sum_{1 \le k \le d} |t|_F^{-\frac{kd}{2}} \tau^\eta_{t^{k-d}X}(\beta' - \beta), \quad (d \ge 1),$$

or

$$I^\eta(X,f) = c^d I^\eta(t^d X, f) + \sum_{1 \le k \le d} c^d \tau^\eta_{t^k X}(\beta' - \beta), \quad (d \ge 1).$$

Since $\lim_{d \to +\infty} I^\eta(t^d X, f) = 0$, we get

$$|I^\eta(X,f)| \le a \sum_{1 \le k < \infty} c^k \le a \frac{c}{1-c}.$$

Now assume $r > n$. Since $\mathrm{Supp}(f_{\alpha_0}) \cap \mathcal{N}_{2n^2-r} = \emptyset$, by the induction hypothesis and Lemma 6.11, $I^\eta(X, f_{\alpha_0})$ is bounded on $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ and so is $\tau_X^\eta(\beta - \beta')$. Applying the same argument as $r = n$, we complete the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Using the same arguments in [HC1, Part VI §7], we can show the following lemma.

Lemma 6.14. $0 \in \mathfrak{s}_0$.

At last, Theorem 6.8 follows from Lemma 6.11, Lemma 6.13 and Lemma 6.14.

6.4 Proof of Theorem 6.6

Now we continue to prove Theorem 6.6. For simplicity, we write ϕ instead of the original $\widehat{\phi}$, and denote by Θ the distribution I_ϕ, that is, for $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$,

$$\Theta(f) := \int_{Z\backslash H} \int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} f(Y)\phi(Y^h)\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}Y\ \mathrm{d}h.$$

Our goal is to prove that the distribution Θ can be represented by a locally integrable function on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ which is locally constant on $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$. We follow the strategy of the proof of [HC1, Theorem 16].

For $t \geq 1$, let Ω_t denote the set of all $h \in H$ such that $1 + \log \|h\|_{Z\backslash H} \leq t$, which is a compact set modulo Z, and let Φ_t denote the characteristic function of Ω_t. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(f) &= \lim_{t\to+\infty} \int_{Z\backslash H} \Phi_t(h) \int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} f(Y)\phi(Y^h)\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}Y\ \mathrm{d}h \\ &= \lim_{t\to+\infty} \int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} f(Y)\Theta_t(Y)\ \mathrm{d}Y,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Theta_t(Y) = \int_{Z\backslash H} \Phi_t(h)\phi(Y^h)\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}h.$$

We will first show that $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \Theta_t(Y)$ exists for all $Y \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, and then will give an estimation on Θ_t to apply Lebesgue's Theorem.

Lemma 6.15. *Given a compact subset ω of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, we can choose $c_0 \geq 0$ such that*

$$1 + \log \|h\|_{T\backslash H} \leq c_0 \left(1 + \log(\max\{1, |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)$$

for $h \in H, X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ such that $X^h \in \omega$.

Proof. The proof is as the same as [Ko, Lemma 20.3]. ☐

We choose a compact set $\omega \subset \mathfrak{s}(F)$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}(\phi) \subset \omega, \mathrm{Supp}(f) \subset \omega$. Fix a Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{s}$, and let T be the centralizer of $\mathfrak{c}$ in H, and A be the maximal split torus in T. Note that A has the form $\{\mathrm{diag}(a, a)\}$ where $a \in A_0$ for some split torus A_0 contained in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Let $\omega_{\mathfrak{c}}$ be the set of $X \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$ such that $X^h \in \omega$ for some $h \in H$. Then $\omega_{\mathfrak{c}}$ is compact. For $X \in \omega_{\mathfrak{c}}, h \in H$, set

$$\phi_X(h) = \phi(X^h)\eta(h).$$

Note that ϕ_X has the following properties:
(i) $\mathrm{Supp}(\phi_X) \subset C_X$ for some subset $C_X \subset H$ which is compact modulo A

and $\phi_X(ah) = \phi_X(h)$ for $h \in H, a \in A$.
(ii) If P_0' is a parabolic subgroup in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ such that $P_0' \neq \mathrm{GL}_n(F), P_0' = M_0'U_0'$, and $A_0' \subset A_0$ where A_0' is a split component of P_0', then

$$\int_{U'} \phi_X(uh) \, \mathrm{d}u = 0 \quad h \in H,$$

where $U' = U_0' \times U_0'$ is a unipotent subgroup of H.

Let K_1 be an open subgroup of $K = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \subset H$ such that $\|k\| = 1, \eta(k) = 1$ for all $k \in K_1$. We choose the measure here so that $\mathrm{vol}(K_1) = 1$. Fix an open compact subgroup K_0 of H such that

$$K_0 \subset (\bar{U} \cap K_1)(M \cap K_1)(U \cap K_1)$$

for any parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ in $\mathcal{P}(A)$, where we denote by $\mathcal{P}(A)$ the set of all parabolic subgroups of H of the form $P_0 \times P_0$ such that P_0 is a parabolic subgroup of GL_n and A is a split component of P. For an element $y \in H$, put $K_0(y) = K_0 \cap K_0^y$. Set

$$\|C_X\|_{T\backslash H} = \sup_{h \in C_X} \|h\|_{T\backslash H}.$$

The following lemma is an analogue of [HC1, Theorem 20], whose proof is similar and we omit it.

Lemma 6.16. *There exists a number $c \geq 1$ with the following property. Let $\Omega = \Omega(C_X, y)$ denote the set of all $h \in H$ such that*

$$1 + \log \|h\|_{Z\backslash H} \leq c(1 + \log \|C_X\|_{T\backslash H})(1 + \log \|y\|_{T\backslash H})$$

where $y \in H$. Then

$$\int_{K_0(y)} \phi_X(ykh) \, \mathrm{d}k = 0$$

unless $h \in \Omega$.

Now suppose $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ and $y \in H$ such that $X^y \in \omega$. Then $X \in \omega_{\mathfrak{c}}$. By Lemma 6.15, there is a positive constant c_0, only depending on ω and $\mathfrak{c}$, such that

$$1 + \log \|y\|_{T\backslash H} \leq c_0 \left(1 + \log(\max\{1, |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right).$$

Set $\omega_{\mathfrak{c}}' = \omega_{\mathfrak{c}} \cap \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$. Then for any $X \in \omega_{\mathfrak{c}}'$ we can choose a subset C_X of H such that
(1) $\mathrm{Supp}(\phi_X) \subset C_X$ and C_X is compact modulo A;

(2) $1+\log\|C_X\|_{T\backslash H} \le c_0\left(1+\log(\max\{1,|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)$.
Let Ω_X $(X \in \omega'_{\mathfrak{c}})$ be the set of all $h \in H$ such that

$$1+\log\|h\|_{Z\backslash H} \le c_1\left(1+\log(\max\{1,|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^2,$$

where $c_1 = c\cdot c_0^2$ with c as in Lemma 6.16. Let Φ_X denote the characteristic function of Ω_X. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_t(X^y) &= \int_{Z\backslash H} \Phi_t(h)\phi(X^{yh})\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}h \\ &= \int_{Z\backslash H} \Phi_t(h)\int_{K_1} \phi(X^{ykh})\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}k\ \mathrm{d}h.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|kh\| = \|h\|$ for $k \in K_1$. By Lemma 6.16 we have

$$\int_{K_1} \phi(X^{ykh})\ \mathrm{d}k = \int_{K_1} \phi_X(ykh)\ \mathrm{d}k = 0,$$

unless:

$$1+\log\|k_1h\|_{Z\backslash H} \le c(1+\log\|C_X\|_{T\backslash H})(1+\log\|y\|_{T\backslash H}),$$

where k_1 runs over a set of representatives of $K_1/K_0(y_0)$ in K_1. Since $\|kh\| = \|h\|$ and

$$c(1+\log\|C_X\|_{T\backslash H})(1+\log\|y\|_{T\backslash H}) \le cc_0^2\left(1+\log(\max\{1,|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^2,$$

$\int_{K_1}\phi(X^{ykh}) = 0$ unless $h \in \Omega_X$. Hence if

$$t \ge c_1\left(1+\log(\max\{1,|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^2,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_t(X^y) &= \int_{Z\backslash H} \Phi_t(h)\Phi_X(h)\eta(h)\int_{K_1} \phi(X^{ykh})\ \mathrm{d}k\ \mathrm{d}h \\ &= \int_{Z\backslash H} \Phi_X(h)\int_{K_1} \phi(X^{ykh})\ \mathrm{d}k\ \mathrm{d}h \\ &= \int_{Z\backslash H}\int_{K_1} \phi(X^{ykh})\eta(h)\ \mathrm{d}k\ \mathrm{d}h.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\Theta_t(X^y)$ exists for $X^y \in \omega\cap\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$. By enlarging ω, $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\Theta_t(X)$ exists for all $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$.

Now we estimate $\Theta_t(X)$. All the notations are as same as above. We have

$$\begin{aligned}|\Theta_t(X^y)| &\leq \int_{Z\backslash H} \Phi_X(y_0^{-1}h)|\phi(X^h)|\ \mathrm{d}h \\ &= \int_{A\backslash H} |\phi(X^h)|\ \mathrm{d}h \int_{Z\backslash A} \Phi_X(y^{-1}ah)\ \mathrm{d}a.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\phi(X^h)\eta(h) = \phi_X(h) = 0$ unless $h \in C_X$. Suppose $h \in C_X$, and $\log\|h\| \leq \log\|C_X\|$. Then $\Phi_X(y^{-1}ah) = 0$ unless $y^{-1}ah \in \Omega_X$. We can assume $\log\|y\| = \log\|y\|_{T\backslash H}$. Since

$$1 + \log\|a\|_{Z\backslash H} \leq (1 + \log\|h\|)\left(1 + \log\|y^{-1}ah\|_{Z\backslash H}\right)(1 + \log\|y\|),$$

we have $\Phi_X(y^{-1}ah) = 0$ unless

$$1 + \log\|a\|_{Z\backslash H} \leq c_2\left(1 + \log(\max\{1, |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^4,$$

where $c_2 = c_1c_0^2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Z\backslash A} \Phi_X(y^{-1}ah)\ \mathrm{d}a &\leq \int_{1+\log\|a\|_{Z\backslash H} \leq c_2\left(1+\log(\max\{1,|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^4} \mathrm{d}a \\ &\leq c_3\left(1 + \log(\max\{1, |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^{4\ell}\end{aligned}$$

where c_3 is a positive constant, not depending on the choice of $X \in \omega'_{\mathfrak{c}}$, and $\ell = \dim Z\backslash A$. This shows that

$$|\Theta_t(X^y)| \leq c_3\left(1 + \log(\max\{1, |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^{4\ell} \int_{A\backslash H} |\phi(X^h)|\ \mathrm{d}h.$$

By noting that Theorem 6.8 also holds for $\eta = \mathbf{1}$, we have:

$$\sup_{X\in\omega'_{\mathfrak{c}}} |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{A\backslash H} |\phi(X^h)|\ \mathrm{d}h < +\infty.$$

Hence

$$|\Theta_t(X^y)| \leq c_4|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 + \log(\max\{1, |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^{4\ell}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$ and $y \in H$ such that $X^h \in \omega' = \omega \cap \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$. Since there are only finitely many non-conjugate Cartan subspace in $\mathfrak{s}$, there exists a constant c_5 such that

$$|\Theta_t(X)| \leq c_5|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 + \log(\max\{1, |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^{4\ell}$$

for all $X \in \omega'$ and all $t \geq 1$.

It follows from the lemma below that the function

$$X \mapsto |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \log(\max\{1, |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-1}\})\right)^{4\ell}$$

is locally integrable on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Then the Theorem 6.6 follows from Lebesgue's Theorem.

Lemma 6.17. *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the function $|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F^{-\epsilon}$ is locally integrable on $\mathfrak{c}(F)$ for any Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{s}$.*

Proof. See [Zh1, Lemma 4.3]. □

7 Local calculations

7.1 Limit formulae

In this subsection, we will show formulae for $\widehat{i^\eta}(X, Y)$ $(X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F))$ and $\widehat{i}(X, Y)$ $(X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F))$ at "infinity", which are analogues of [Wa2, VIII.1 Proposition] whose proof is highly technical. Here we just modify Waldspurger's proof to make it available in this situation.

Statement Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a Cartan subspace of $\mathfrak{s}$, and $\mathbf{T}^-$ be the maximal θ-split torus in $\mathbf{G}$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{c}$. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the centralizer of $\mathfrak{c}$ in $\mathbf{H}$, and denote $\mathfrak{t} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{T})$. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, we define a non degenerate, bilinear and symmetric form $q_{X,Y}$ on $\mathfrak{h}(F)/\mathfrak{t}(F)$ by

$$q_{X,Y}(Z, Z') = \langle [Z, X], [Y, Z'] \rangle,$$

where the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the one as before. We can verify that $q_{X,Y} = q_{Y,X}$. We will write $\gamma_\psi(X, Y) = \gamma_\psi(q_{X,Y})$ for simplicity. Recall that by convention, $T = \mathbf{T}(F)$, $H = \mathbf{H}(F)$.

Let $\mathfrak{c}'$ be a Cartan subspace of $\mathfrak{s}'$, we denote $\mathbf{T}'^-, \mathbf{T}', \mathfrak{t}'$ similarly as above. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, we can also define a non degenerate, bilinear and symmetric form $q_{X,Y}$ on $\mathfrak{h}'(F)/\mathfrak{t}'(F)$ in the same way.

Proposition 7.1. *Notations are as above.*

1. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\mu \in F^\times$ satisfying $v_F(\mu) < -N$, we have the equality*

$$\widehat{i^\eta}(\mu X, Y) = \kappa(Y) \sum_{h \in T\backslash H,\ h \cdot X \in \mathfrak{c}} \eta(h) \gamma_\psi(\mu h \cdot X, Y)\, \psi\left(\langle \mu h \cdot X, Y \rangle\right),$$

and

$$\widehat{i}^{\eta}(X,\mu Y)=\kappa(\mu Y)\sum_{h\in T\backslash H,\ h\cdot X\in\mathfrak{c}}\eta(h)\gamma_{\psi}\left(h\cdot X,\mu Y\right)\psi\left(\langle h\cdot X,\mu Y\rangle\right).$$

2. *Let* $X\in\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ *and* $Y\in\mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$*. Then there exists* $N\in\mathbb{N}$ *such that if* $\mu\in F^{\times}$ *satisfying* $v_F(\mu)<-N$*, we have the equality*

$$\widehat{i}(\mu X,Y)=\sum_{h\in T'\backslash H',\ h\cdot X\in\mathfrak{c}'}\gamma_{\psi}\left(\mu h\cdot X,Y\right)\psi\left(\langle\langle(\mu h\cdot X,Y\rangle\right),$$

and

$$\widehat{i}(X,\mu Y)=\sum_{h\in T'\backslash H',\ h\cdot X\in\mathfrak{c}'}\gamma_{\psi}\left(h\cdot X,\mu Y\right)\psi\left(\langle h\cdot X,\mu Y\rangle\right).$$

In particular, the above expression is zero if X is not conjugate to an element of $\mathfrak{c}(F)$ (or $\mathfrak{c}'(F)$).

Proof We now prove the formula for $\widehat{i}^{\eta}(\mu X,Y)$, while the formula for $\widehat{i}^{\eta}(X,\mu Y)$ can be deduced from it. We leave the proof for $\widehat{i}(\mu X,Y)$ and $\widehat{i}(X,\mu Y)$ to the readers, whose proof is similar.

We first introduce some notations. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{p}$) be the unique completion of $\mathfrak{t}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{c}$) in $\mathfrak{h}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}$) which is stable by the adjoint action of $\mathbf{T}$. Denote by $S_{\mathfrak{c}}$ the set of roots of $\mathbf{T}^{-}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. For each subspace $\mathfrak{f}\subset\mathfrak{g}(F)$ such that the restriction of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ to $\mathfrak{f}$ is non degenerate and for each $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattice $L\subset\mathfrak{f}$, set $\widetilde{L}=\{\ell\in\mathfrak{f};\ \forall\ell'\in L,\psi(\langle\ell',\ell\rangle)=1\}$. We denote by $L_{\mathfrak{c}}$ the $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattice of $\mathfrak{c}(F)$ such that

$$\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{c}}=\{Z\in\mathfrak{c}(F);\ \forall\alpha\in S_{\mathfrak{c}},v_F(\alpha(Z))\geq 0\}.$$

Fix $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattices $L_{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathfrak{p}(F)$, $L_{\mathfrak{t}}\subset\mathfrak{t}(F)$ and $L_{\mathfrak{q}}\subset\mathfrak{q}(F)$. Set $L_{\mathfrak{s}}=L_{\mathfrak{c}}\oplus L_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $L_{\mathfrak{h}}=L_{\mathfrak{t}}\oplus L_{\mathfrak{q}}$, $L=L_{\mathfrak{s}}\oplus L_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Set $d=\dim_F(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, and denote by $F[U]_d$ the set of polynomials in U with coefficients in F, of degree d, and with the coefficient of U^d being 1. For $P\in F[U]_d$, write

$$P(U)=\sum_{i=0}^{d}s_i(P)U^{d-i}.$$

For $a\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $P_1,P_2\in F[U]_d$, we write $P_1\equiv P_2 \bmod \varpi^a\mathcal{O}_F$ if $v_F(s_i(P_1)-s_i(P_2))\geq a$ for each $i=0,...,d$. For each $Z\in\mathfrak{g}(F)$, denote by P_Z the characteristic polynomial of $\mathrm{ad}(Z)$ acting on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. Then $P_Z\in F[U]_d$.

Fix an integer $c\in\mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following conditions. We will refer them as (C-1),...,(C-7).

1. For each $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \geq c$, we have
   - $\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{h}} \subset V_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\varpi^a L \subset V_{\mathfrak{g}}$;
   - $K_a := \exp(\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{h}})$ is a subgroup of K, and $\eta|_{K_a} = 1$;
   - the action of K_a stabilizes $L_{\mathfrak{s}}$ (hence stabilizes $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}$).
2. For each $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \geq c$, and each $Z \in \varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{h}}$, we have
   - $(\exp Z) \cdot Y - Y - [Z, Y] \in \varpi^{2a-c} L_{\mathfrak{s}}$;
   - $(\exp Z) \cdot Y - Y - [Z, Y] - \frac{1}{2}[Z, [Z, Y]] \in \varpi^{3a-c} L_{\mathfrak{s}}$.
3. Denote by $C(X)$ the set of $X' \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$ satisfying that there exists $h \in H$ such that $h \cdot X' = X$, which is a finite set. We require:
   - if $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \geq c$, $X', X'' \in C(X)$, and $\gamma \in K_a$ satisfying $\gamma \cdot X' = X''$, then $X' = X''$;
   - for each $X' \in C(X)$, denote by $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{X'}$ the dual of $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ in $\mathfrak{q}(F)$ with respect to the form $q_{X',Y}$; then require $\varpi^c \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{X'} \subset 2\varpi^{-c} L_{\mathfrak{q}}$.
4. If $Z \in \mathfrak{p}(F)$ satisfying $[Y, Z] \in \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}$, then $Z \in \varpi^{-c} \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
5. For each $h \in H$, denote by $c(h)$ the unique element of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that
$$X^h \in \varpi^{-c(h)} \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{s}} - \varpi^{-c(h)+1} \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}.$$
   Since $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$, the set $\{c(h), h \in H\}$ has a lower bound. We require that
   - for each $h \in H$, $c(h) \geq -c$.
6. Fix a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{F})$ formed of basis of $\mathfrak{c}(\bar{F})$ and $\mathfrak{t}(\bar{F})$, and root vectors associated to $S_{\mathfrak{c}}$. We require
   - for each $Z \in \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the coefficients of the representing matrix of $\mathrm{ad}(Z)$ with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}$ are of valuation $\geq -c$;
   - for each $i = 0, ..., d$, $v_F(s_i(P_X)) \geq -c$.
7. There exists an open compact set $\Omega \subset \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ such that if $Z \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ satisfying $P_Z \equiv P_X \bmod \varpi^c \mathcal{O}_F$, then $Z \in \Omega$.

The integer c is fixed from now on, and we also fix an open compact Ω satisfying (C-7). The following lemma actually is [Wa2, VIII.3 Lemme].

Lemma 7.2. *There exists $c' \in \mathbb{N}$, $c' \geq c$, such that if $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \geq c'$, and $Z \in \Omega + \varpi^{a+c'}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then there exists $\gamma \in K_a$ such that $\gamma \cdot Z \in \Omega$.*

From now on, we fix an integer c' as in the above lemma. Set

$$N = 2(d+8)c + 6c' + 12. \tag{1}$$

Let $\mu \in F^\times$ such that $v_F(\mu) < -N$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- the functions $Y' \mapsto \widehat{i}^\eta(\mu X, Y')$, $Y' \mapsto |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y')|_F$ and $\kappa(Y)$ are constant on $Y + \varpi^m L_{\mathfrak{s}}$;
- for each $X' \in C(X)$, $\mu X' \in \varpi^{-m}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}$.

Let f be the characteristic function of $Y + \varpi^m L_{\mathfrak{s}}$, and f' be the characteristic function of $\varpi^{-m}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{I}^\eta(\mu X, f) &= \int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} \widehat{i}^\eta(\mu X, Y')\kappa(Y')f(Y')|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y')|_F^{-1/2}\, \mathrm{d}Y' \\ &= \mathrm{vol}(\varpi^m L_{\mathfrak{s}})|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y)|_F^{-1/2}\kappa(Y)\widehat{i}^\eta(\mu X, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that

$$\widehat{f}(Y') = \mathrm{vol}(\varpi^m L_{\mathfrak{s}})\psi(\langle Y, Y'\rangle)f'(Y').$$

Hence

$$\widehat{I}^\eta(\mu X, f) = |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mu X)|_F^{1/2}\mathrm{vol}(\varpi^m L_{\mathfrak{s}}) \int_{T\backslash H} f'(\mu X^h)\psi\left(\langle Y, \mu X^h\rangle\right)\eta(h)\, \mathrm{d}h.$$

Set

$$a = [-v_F(\mu)/2] - 2c - c' - 1. \tag{3}$$

By (1), $a \geq c$. Fix a set of representatives Γ in H for the double coset $T\backslash H/K_a$. By (C-3), we can suppose that if there exist $h \in \Gamma$ and $h' \in ThK_a$ such that $X^{h'} \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$, then $X^h \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$. Equip K_a with the measure induced from H. Then we have

$$\widehat{i}^\eta(\mu X, Y) = |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mu X)D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y)|_F^{1/2}\kappa(Y)\sum_{h\in\Gamma}\mathrm{vol}(T\backslash ThK_a)f'(\mu X^h)\eta(h)i(h),$$

where

$$i(h) = \int_{K_a}\psi(\langle Y, \mu X^{h\gamma}\rangle)\, \mathrm{d}\gamma.$$

Fix $h \in \Gamma$. Choose $b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bullet\ (c + c(h) - v_F(\mu))/2 \le b \le c(h) - v_F(\mu) - 1 - 2c; \\ &\bullet\ \text{if } c(h) \le c,\ \ b \le \begin{cases} -(d+2)c - 1 - v_F(\mu), \\ -c - c' - 1 - a - v_F(\mu), \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

which implies $b \ge a$. Fix a set of representatives Γ' of K_a/K_b. Then we have

$$i(h) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma'} i(h, g),$$

where

$$i(h, g) = \int_{K_b} \psi\left(\langle Y, \mu X^{hg\gamma}\rangle\right)\ \mathrm{d}\gamma.$$

Fix $g \in \Gamma'$, and set $X' = X^{hg}$. Then

$$i(h, g) = \int_{\varpi^b L_{\mathfrak{h}}} \psi\left(\langle \exp Z \cdot Y, \mu X'\rangle\right)\ \mathrm{d}Z.$$

Note that since K_b stabilizes $L_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}$, then $c(hg) = c(h)$. In particular, $X' \in \varpi^{-c(h)}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}$. By (4), we have

$$\psi\left(\langle Z, \mu X'\rangle\right) = 1$$

for each $Z \in \varpi^{2b-c}L_{\mathfrak{s}}$. Note that $b \ge c$. For $Z \in \varpi^b L_{\mathfrak{h}}$, by (C-3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\langle \exp Z \cdot Y, \mu X'\rangle\right) &= \psi\left(\langle Y + [Z, Y], \mu X'\rangle\right) \\ &= \psi\left(\langle Y, \mu X'\rangle\right)\psi\left(\langle Z, [Y, \mu X']\rangle\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see that $i(h, g) = 0$ if $[Y, \mu X'] \notin \varpi^{-b}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. We make the following claim:

$$(*)\qquad \text{if } [Y, \mu X'] \in \varpi^{-b}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{h}},\ \text{then } X^h \in \mathfrak{c}(F).$$

Now we prove this claim. Suppose $[Y, \mu X'] \in \varpi^{-b}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}$, in other words, $[Y, X'_{\mathfrak{p}}] \in \mu^{-1}\varpi^{-b}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}$, where $X' = X'_{\mathfrak{c}} + X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the decomposition of X' with respect to $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Thus, by (C-4),

$$X'_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mu^{-1}\varpi^{-b-c}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, by (4), $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \varpi^{-c(h)+1}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By the definition of $c(h)$ and that $c(hg) = c(h)$, we deduce that

$$X'_{\mathfrak{c}} \in \varpi^{-c(h)}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{c}} - \varpi^{-c(h)+1}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{c}}.$$

Set $R = \{\alpha \in S_{\mathfrak{c}};\ v_F(\alpha(X'_{\mathfrak{c}})) < -c(h)+1\}$. The above relation and the definition of $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ imply that $R \neq \emptyset$. Set $r = \#R$, we calculate the coefficient $s_r(P_{X'})$. This is a sum of products of coefficients of matrices expressing $\mathrm{ad}X'_{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $\mathrm{ad}X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}$. By (4), (5) and (C-6), the coefficients of $\mathrm{ad}X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are of valuation $\geq -c(h)+1$. The same holds for the coefficients of $\mathrm{ad}X'_{\mathfrak{c}}$ other than that of $\alpha(X'_{\mathfrak{c}})$ for $\alpha \in R$. The term $\prod_{\alpha\in R}\alpha(X'_{\mathfrak{c}})$, which occurs in $s_r(P_{X'})$, is of the valuation strictly less than that of any other term. Thus

$$v_F(s_r(P_{X'})) = v_F(\prod_{\alpha\in R}\alpha(X'_{\mathfrak{c}})) < r(-c(h)+1).$$

Since X' is conjugate to X by the action of H, then $P_{X'} = P_X$. By (C-6), we have

$$-c < r(-c(h)+1),$$

therefore

$$c(h) \leq c. \tag{6}$$

Let $i \in \{1, ..., d\}$. We now compare the coefficients $s_i(P_{X'})$ and $s_i(P_{X'_{\mathfrak{c}}})$. Their difference is a sum of products of coefficients of the matrices representing $\mathrm{ad}X'_{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $\mathrm{ad}X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}$, and at least one coefficient of $\mathrm{ad}X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is involved in these products. By (6), the coefficients of $\mathrm{ad}X'_{\mathfrak{c}}$ are of valuation $\geq -c(h) \geq -c$. By (4), (5), (6) and (C-6), the coefficients of $\mathrm{ad}X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are of valuation $\geq dc$. Therefore

$$v_F\left(s_i(P_{X'}) - s_i(P_{X'_{\mathfrak{c}}})\right) \geq -(i-1)c + dc \geq c,$$

in other words, $P_{X'_{\mathfrak{c}}} \equiv P_{X'} \bmod \varpi^c\mathcal{O}_F$. Thus, by (C-7), $X'_{\mathfrak{c}} \in \Omega$. By (4), (5) and (6), $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \varpi^{a+c'}\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By (1) and (3), $a \geq c'$. By Lemma 7.2, there exists $\gamma \in K_a$ such that $\gamma \cdot X' \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$. By the choice of Γ, we have $X^h \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$. Now we have finished the proof the claim.

From now on, we do suppose $X^h \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$, thus $f'(\mu X^h) = 1$ by the condition on f'. Note that the multiplication by h^{-1} induces an isomorphism from $T\backslash ThK_a$ to $T\backslash TK_a$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{i}^{\eta}(\mu X, Y) =& \kappa(Y)|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mu X)D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y)|_F^{1/2}\mathrm{vol}(K_a)^{-1}\mathrm{vol}(T\backslash TK_a)\\ &\times \sum_{X'=X^h\in C(X)}\eta(h)j(X'),\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}j(X') &= \int_{K_a}\psi\left(\langle Y, \mu X'^{\gamma}\rangle\right)\ \mathrm{d}\gamma\\ &= \int_{\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{h}}}\psi\left(\langle \exp Z\cdot Y, \mu X'\rangle\right)\ \mathrm{d}Z.\end{aligned}$$

Fix $X' \in C(X)$. By (1) and (3), $\psi(\langle Y', \mu X'\rangle) = 1$ for $Y' \in \varpi^{3a-c}L_{\mathfrak{s}}$. Since $Y, X' \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$, then for any $Z \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$, $\langle [Z, Y], X'\rangle = \langle Z, [Y, X']\rangle = 0$. By (C-2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} j(X') &= \psi(\langle Y, \mu X'\rangle) \int_{\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{h}}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle [Z, [Z, Y]], \mu X'\rangle\right) \, \mathrm{d}Z \\ &= \psi(\langle Y, \mu X'\rangle) \int_{\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{h}}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle [Z, Y], [\mu X', Z]\rangle\right) \, \mathrm{d}Z \\ &= \psi(\langle Y, \mu X'\rangle) \, \mathrm{vol}(\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{t}}) \int_{\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{q}}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} q_{\mu X', Y}(Z)\right) \, \mathrm{d}Z. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \leq -c - v_F(\mu)/2$ and (C-3), we obtain

$$(8) \quad j(X') = \mathrm{vol}(\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{t}}) \mathrm{vol}(\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{q}})^{1/2} \mathrm{vol}(\varpi^{-a} \check{L}_{\mathfrak{q}})^{1/2} \gamma_\psi(q_{\mu X', Y}) \psi(\langle Y, \mu X'\rangle),$$

where $\check{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the dual of $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ with respect to the form $q_{\mu X', Y}$. There is a relation:

$$(9) \qquad \mathrm{vol}(K_a) = \mathrm{vol}(T \backslash T K_a) \mathrm{vol}(T \cap K_a) = \mathrm{vol}(T \backslash T K_a) \mathrm{vol}(\varpi^a L_{\mathfrak{t}}).$$

By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \check{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} &= \{Z \in \mathfrak{q}(F);\ \forall Z' \in L_{\mathfrak{q}},\ \psi(\langle [Z, \mu X'], [Y, Z']\rangle) = 1\} \\ &= \{Z \in \mathfrak{q}(F);\ \forall Z' \in L_{\mathfrak{q}},\ \psi(\langle [[Z, \mu X'], Y], Z']\rangle) = 1\} \\ &= \left\{Z \in \mathfrak{q}(F);\ [[Z, \mu X'], Y] \in \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$(\mathrm{ad} Y) \circ (\mathrm{ad} \mu X')(\check{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{q}},$$

and

$$(10) \qquad \mathrm{vol}(\check{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y) D^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mu X')|_F^{-1} \mathrm{vol}(\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

On the other hand, we have the relation

$$(11) \qquad \mathrm{vol}(L_{\mathfrak{q}}) \mathrm{vol}(\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 1.$$

Then Proposition 7.1 follows.

7.2 Formulae for $\gamma_\psi(X, Y)$

Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be an F-rational Cartan subspace of $\mathfrak{s}$ as before. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, we now exhibit a formula for $\gamma_\psi(X, Y)$, which is an analogue of [Wa2, VIII.5 Lemme].

Recall that we denote by $\mathbf{T}^-$ the maximal θ-split torus in $\mathbf{G}$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{c}$, and denote by $S_\mathfrak{c}$ the set of roots of $\mathbf{T}^-$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that for $\alpha \in S_\mathfrak{c}$, since $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{s}$, $\theta(\alpha) = -\alpha$. Denote by Γ_F the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$, then Γ_F acts on $S_\mathfrak{c}$. For $\alpha \in S_\mathfrak{c}$, denote by m_α its multiplicity in $\mathfrak{g}$. Then it is easy to see that $m_\alpha = m_{-\alpha}$ and $m_{\sigma\alpha} = m_\alpha$ for every $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$. For each root space $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ associated to $\alpha \in S_\mathfrak{c}$ we can choose its basis $\{E_\alpha^1, ..., E_\alpha^{m_\alpha}\}$ so that: (1) $\sigma(E_\alpha^i) = E_{\sigma\alpha}^i$ for each $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$; (2) $\theta(E_\alpha^i) = E_{-\alpha}^i$; (3) $\langle E_\alpha^i, E_{-\alpha}^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. For $\alpha \in S_\mathfrak{c}$, denote by $\Gamma_{\pm\alpha}$ the stabilizer of $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ in Γ_F, denote by $F_{\pm\alpha}$ the fixed field of $\Gamma_{\pm\alpha}$ in $\bar{F}$, and denote by $S_\mathfrak{c}^*$ for a fixed set of representatives of orbits $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$. If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, $\alpha(X)\alpha(Y) \in F_{\pm\alpha}$.

Consider the homomorphism

$$\tau : \prod_{S_\mathfrak{c}^*} m_\alpha F_{\pm\alpha} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}(\bar{F}), \quad (\lambda_\alpha^i) \mapsto \sum_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{m_\alpha} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_{\pm\alpha}} \sigma(\lambda_\alpha^i) \left(E_{\sigma\alpha}^i + E_{-\sigma\alpha}^i \right).$$

In fact the image of τ lies in $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ and τ defines an isomorphism

$$\prod_{S_\mathfrak{c}^*} m_\alpha F_{\pm\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{q}(F).$$

Recall that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$, where $\mathfrak{t} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{T}$ is the centralizer of $\mathfrak{c}$ in $\mathbf{H}$. For $(\lambda_\alpha^i) \in \prod_{S_\mathfrak{c}^*} m_\alpha F_{\pm\alpha}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{X,Y}\left(\tau\left((\lambda_\alpha^i)\right)\right) &= \sum_{\alpha \in S_\mathfrak{c}^*} \sum_{i=1}^{m_\alpha} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_\alpha} \sigma(\lambda_\alpha^i)^2 \langle [E_{\sigma\alpha}^i + E_{-\sigma\alpha}^i, X], [Y, E_{\sigma\alpha}^i + E_{-\sigma\alpha}^i] \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,i,\sigma} \sigma(\lambda_\alpha^i)^2 \left(-\sigma\alpha(X)\sigma\alpha(Y)\right) \langle E_{\sigma\alpha}^i - E_{-\sigma\alpha}^i, E_{\sigma\alpha}^i - E_{-\sigma\alpha}^i \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,i,\sigma} \sigma(\lambda_\alpha^i)^2 \sigma\alpha(X)\sigma\alpha(Y) \langle E_{\sigma\alpha}^i + E_{-\sigma\alpha}^i, E_{\sigma\alpha}^i + E_{-\sigma\alpha}^i \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in S_\mathfrak{c}^*} \sum_{i=1}^{m_\alpha} q_{X,Y,\alpha}(\lambda_\alpha^i),
\end{aligned}$$

where $q_{X,Y,\alpha}(\lambda)$ is the form on $F_{\pm\alpha}$ defined by

$$q_{X,Y,\alpha}(\lambda) = \mathrm{Tr}_{F_{\pm\alpha}/F} \left(2\alpha(X)\alpha(Y)\lambda^2 \right).$$

Therefore

$$\gamma_\psi(X,Y) = \prod_{\alpha \in S_\mathfrak{c}^*} \gamma_\psi(q_{X,Y,\alpha})^{m_\alpha}.$$

For $\alpha \in S_{\mathfrak{c}}^*$, denote by ψ' the character $\psi \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{F_{\pm\alpha}/F}$ of $F_{\pm\alpha}$ and $q'_{X,Y,\alpha}$ the form on $F_{\pm\alpha}$ with valued in $F_{\pm\alpha}$:

$$q'_{X,Y,\alpha}(\lambda) = 2\alpha(X)\alpha(Y)\lambda^2.$$

Then $\gamma_\psi(q_{X,Y,\alpha}) = \gamma_{\psi'}(q'_{X,Y,\alpha})$, and

$$\gamma_{\psi'}(q'_{X,Y,\alpha}) = (\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), 2)_{F_{\pm\alpha}} \gamma_{F_{\pm\alpha}}(\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), \psi')\gamma_{\psi'}(q'_\alpha),$$

where $q'_\alpha(\lambda) = 2\lambda^2$, $(\ ,\ )_{F_{\pm\alpha}}$ is the Hilbert symbol on $F_{\pm\alpha}$, and

$$\gamma_{F_{\pm\alpha}}(\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), \psi') = \frac{\gamma_{\psi'}\left(\alpha(X)\alpha(Y)q\right)}{\gamma_{\psi'}(q)}$$

with $q(\lambda) = \lambda^2$. Therefore

$$\gamma_\psi(q_{X,Y,\alpha}) = (\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), 2)_{F_{\pm\alpha}} \gamma_{F_{\pm\alpha}}(\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), \psi')\gamma_\psi(q_\alpha),$$

where

$$q_\alpha(\lambda) = \mathrm{Tr}_{F_{\pm\alpha}/F}(2\lambda^2) = \mathrm{Tr}_{F_{\pm\alpha}/F}\left(\langle E^i_\alpha + E^i_{-\alpha}, E^i_\alpha + E^i_{-\alpha}\rangle\lambda^2\right).$$

We deduce

$$\gamma_\psi(X,Y) = \prod_{\alpha \in S_{\mathfrak{c}}^*} \left((\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), 2)_{F_{\pm\alpha}}\gamma_{F_{\pm\alpha}}(\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), \psi')\gamma_\psi(q_\alpha)\right)^{m_\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, by the same argument as above, we can show that

$$\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{q}(F)) = \prod_{\alpha \in S_{\mathfrak{c}}^*} \gamma_\psi(q_\alpha)^{m_\alpha}.$$

It is also easy to see that $\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{q}(F)) = \gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{t}(F))^{-1}\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}(F))$.

For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, with the similar notation as above, we can get a formula for $\gamma_\psi(X,Y)$ in a similar form. In summary, we have the following formula.

Proposition 7.3. *Notations are as above.*

1. *For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\psi(X,Y) =& \gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{t}(F))^{-1}\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}(F)) \\ & \times \prod_{\alpha \in S_{\mathfrak{c}}^*} \left((\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), 2)_{F_{\pm\alpha}}\gamma_{F_{\pm\alpha}}(\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), \psi')\right)^{m_\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

2. *For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\psi(X,Y) =& \gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{t}'(F))^{-1}\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}'(F)) \\ & \times \prod_{\alpha \in S_{\mathfrak{c}'}^*} \left((\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), 2)_{F_{\pm\alpha}}\gamma_{F_{\pm\alpha}}(\alpha(X)\alpha(Y), \psi')\right)^{m_\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

7.3 A comparison lemma

To obtain the main result of this subsection, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4. *Let* $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ *and* $Y \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ *such that* $X \leftrightarrow Y$*. Then there is an* $x \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(E)$ *such that* $\mathrm{Ad}(x)Y = X$*, and* $\mathrm{Ad}(x)$ *induces isomorphisms* $\mathrm{Ad}(x) : \mathfrak{t}' \to \mathfrak{t}$ *and* $\mathrm{Ad}(x) : \mathfrak{c}' \to \mathfrak{c}$ *over* F*.*

Proof. It suffices to prove this for $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma B \\ \bar{B} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ being regular semisimple and $A = \gamma B\bar{B}$. Then, obviously, we have

$$\mathfrak{c}(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ AC & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; C \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(F), AC = CA \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{t}(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} ; C \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(F), AD = DA \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{c}'(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma P \\ \bar{P} & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; P \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(E), B\bar{P} = P\bar{B} \right\},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{t}'(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{Q} \end{pmatrix} ; Q \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(E), B\bar{Q} = QB \right\}.$$

Take $x = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(E)$. Then we claim that $\mathrm{Ad}(x)$ satisfies the required condition. By the above relation, it is easy to see that:

1. $\mathrm{Ad}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma P \\ \bar{P} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma P B^{-1} \\ APB^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $APB^{-1} = PB^{-1}A$;

2. $\mathrm{Ad}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{Q} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}$, $AQ = QA$.

Therefore we have to show that $PB^{-1} \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$, $Q \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$.

Note that since $A = B\bar{B}$, A commutes with B. It is easy to see that P and Q also commute with A. Hence P and Q commute with B, since A is regular. Therefore the relation $B\bar{P} = P\bar{B}$ implies that $PB^{-1} = \bar{P}\bar{B}^{-1}$; the relation $B\bar{Q} = QB$ implies that $\bar{Q} = Q$, which concludes the proof. □

Now let $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ be such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$. Then we can take an $x \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(E)$ as in the above lemma. For any $V \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, put $U = \mathrm{Ad}(x)V$.

Lemma 7.5. *Let X, Y, U, V be as above. Then we have the relation*

$$\langle X, U\rangle = \langle Y, V\rangle,$$

and

$$\gamma_\psi(X, U) = \gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}(F))\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}'(F))^{-1}\gamma_\psi(Y, V).$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 7.3 and the above lemma. ☐

7.4 A proposition

This subsection is devoted to showing that we can construct specific $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$-functions satisfying certain "good" matching conditions. Such functions will play an important role in proving smooth transfer by using global method. The result below is an analogue of [Wa3, Proposition 8.2].

Proposition 7.6. *Let $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F) \subset \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ and $X_0 \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F) \subset \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ such that $X_0 \leftrightarrow Y_0$. Then there exist functions $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ and $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *if $X \in \mathrm{Supp}(f)$, there exists $Y' \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y'$. If $Y \in \mathrm{Supp}(f')$, Y is H'-conjugate to an element in $\mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$;*
2. *f is a transfer of f';*
3. *there is an equality*

$$\kappa(X_0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X_0, f) = c\widehat{I}(Y_0, f') \neq 0,$$

where $c = \gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}(F))\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}'(F))^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $W_\mathfrak{c}$ (resp. $W_{\mathfrak{c}'}$) be the Weyl group associated to $\mathfrak{c}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{c}'$), i.e. $W_\mathfrak{c} = N_H(\mathfrak{c})/Z_H(\mathfrak{c})$ (resp. $W_{\mathfrak{c}'} = N_{H'}(\mathfrak{c}')/Z_{H'}(\mathfrak{c}')$). Denote

$$C(X_0) = \{X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F); X = i(X_0) \text{ for some } i \in W_\mathfrak{c}\},$$

and

$$C(Y_0) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F); Y = i(Y_0) \text{ for some } i \in W_{\mathfrak{c}'}\}.$$

By Lemma 7.4, we fix an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{c}'(F) \to \mathfrak{c}(F)$ such that $\varphi(Y_0) = X_0$. Fix $V_0 \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ and $U_0 := \varphi(V_0) \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ so that if $X \in C(X_0) - X_0$ (resp. $Y \in C(Y_0) - Y_0$), we have $\langle X - X_0, U_0\rangle \neq 0$ (resp. $\langle Y - Y_0, V_0\rangle \neq 0$), and moreover, $\kappa(U_0) = \kappa(X_0)$. We make the following choices.

1. Fix an integer $r \geq 1$ such that:
    - $1 + \varpi^r \mathcal{O}_F \subset F^{\times 2}$;
    - the sets $i((1+\varpi^r\mathcal{O}_F)U_0)$ (resp. $i((1+\varpi^r\mathcal{O}_F)V_0)$), for $i \in W_{\mathfrak{c}}$ (resp. $i \in W_{\mathfrak{c}'}$), are mutually disjoint.
2. There exists an integer N such that if $\mu \in F^\times$ satisfying $v_F(\mu) < -N$, we have
    - for each $X \in C(X_0) - X_0$ (resp. $Y \in C(Y_0) - Y_0$), the character $\alpha \mapsto \psi\left(\varpi^r \mu\alpha\langle X - X_0, U_0\rangle\right)$ (resp. $\alpha \mapsto \psi\left(\varpi^r\mu\alpha\langle Y - Y_0, Y_0\rangle\right)$) is non trivial on $\mathcal{O}_F$.
3. Fix N and $\mu \in F^\times$ with $v_F(\mu) < -N$ such that
    - $\eta(\mu)$=1;
    - the condition (2) above is satisfied;
    - the formulae of Proposition 7.1 hold for $\widehat{i^\eta}\,(X_0, i(\mu U_0))$ and $\widehat{i}(Y_0, i'(\mu V_0))$ for all $i \in W_{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $i' \in W_{\mathfrak{c}'}$.
4. Set $\omega_0 = \mu(1+\varpi^r\mathcal{O}_F)U_0$ and $\omega_0' = \mu(1+\varpi^r\mathcal{O}_F)V_0$. Denote by $\mathfrak{d}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{d}'$) the F-vector space generated by U_0 (resp. V_0), and fix a complement $\mathfrak{e}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{e}'$) in $\mathfrak{c}(F)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{c}'(F)$), i.e. $\mathfrak{c}(F) = \mathfrak{d} \oplus \mathfrak{e}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{c}'(F) = \mathfrak{d}' \oplus \mathfrak{e}'$). If $U \in \mathfrak{c}(F)$ (resp. $V \in \mathfrak{c}'(F)$), denote by $U_{\mathfrak{d}}$ (resp. $V_{\mathfrak{d}'}$) its projection on $\mathfrak{d}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{d}'$). We can choose open compact neighborhoods $\omega_{\mathfrak{e}}$ and $\omega'_{\mathfrak{e}'}$ of 0 in $\mathfrak{e}$ and $\mathfrak{e}'$ small enough so that: if we set $\omega = \omega_0 \oplus \omega_{\mathfrak{e}}$ and $\omega' = \omega_0' \oplus \omega'_{\mathfrak{e}'}$, then
    - the sets $i(\omega)$ (resp. $i'(\omega')$), for $i \in W_{\mathfrak{c}}$ (resp. $i' \in W_{\mathfrak{c}'}$), are mutually disjoint;
    - $\omega \subset \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, $\omega' \subset \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, $\varphi(\omega') = \omega$, and therefore, for $U \in \omega$, $V \in \omega'$, they match with each other if and only if $\varphi(V) = U$;
    - for each $X \in C(X_0)$ (resp. $Y \in C(Y_0)$), and each $U \in \omega$ (resp. $V \in \omega'$),
    $$\widehat{i^\eta}(X, U) = \widehat{i^\eta}(X, U_{\mathfrak{d}}), \quad \widehat{i}(Y, V) = \widehat{i}(Y, V_{\mathfrak{d}'});$$
    - the function κ is constant on ω, which hence equals to $\kappa(X_0)$.

Define a function f_ω (resp. $f'_{\omega'}$) on ω (resp. ω') by

$$\begin{aligned} f_\omega(U) &= \psi\left(-\langle X_0, U_{\mathfrak{d}}\rangle\right), && \text{for } U \in \omega, \\ f'_{\omega'}(V) &= \psi\left(-\langle Y_0, V_{\mathfrak{d}'}\rangle\right), && \text{for } V \in \omega'. \end{aligned}$$

Now we fix a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ (resp. $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Supp}(f) \subset \omega^H, &\quad \text{and } \kappa(U) I^\eta(U, f) = f_\omega(U) \qquad \text{for each } U \in \omega, \\ \mathrm{Supp}(f') \subset \omega'^{H'}, &\quad \text{and } I(V, f') = f'_{\omega'}(V) \qquad\quad\; \text{for each } V \in \omega'. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have, for $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$,

$$\kappa(X) I^\eta(X, f) = \begin{cases} f_\omega(U) & \text{if } X \text{ is } H\text{-conjugate to some } U \in \omega, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and for $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$,

$$I(Y, f') = \begin{cases} f'_{\omega'}(V) & \text{if } Y \text{ is } H'\text{-conjugate to some } V \in \omega', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the assertions (1) and (2) of the proposition follow from the above construction and Lemma 7.5.

To prove the assertion (3), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(X_0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X_0, f) &= \kappa(X_0) \int_{\mathfrak{s}(F)} \widehat{i}^\eta(X_0, U)\kappa(U) f(U) |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(U)|^{-1/2} \,\mathrm{d}U \\ &= |W_{\mathfrak{c}}|^{-1} \kappa(X_0) \int_{\mathfrak{c}(F)} \widehat{i}^\eta(X_0, U)\kappa(U) I^\eta(f, U) \,\mathrm{d}U \\ &= \kappa(X_0) \int_{\omega} \widehat{i}^\eta(X_0, U) f_\omega(U) \,\mathrm{d}U \\ &= \sum_{i \in W_{\mathfrak{c}}} \kappa(X_0) \int_\omega \eta(i)\kappa(U)\gamma_\psi(i(X_0), U)\psi\left(\langle i(X_0), U\rangle\right) f_\omega(U) \,\mathrm{d}U \\ &= \sum_{X \in C(X_0)} \mathrm{vol}(\omega_{\mathfrak{c}})\kappa(X)\kappa(U) \int_{\omega_0} \gamma_\psi(X, U_{\mathfrak{d}})\psi\left(\langle X - X_0, U_{\mathfrak{d}}\rangle\right) \,\mathrm{d}U_{\mathfrak{d}} \\ &= \sum_{X \in C(X_0)} \mathrm{vol}(\omega)\kappa(X)\kappa(U) \\ &\times \int_{\mathcal{O}_F} \gamma_\psi\left(X, \mu(1 + \varpi^r \alpha)U_0\right) \psi\left(\langle X - X_0, \mu(1 + \varpi^r\alpha)U_0\rangle\right) \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \end{aligned}$$

By condition (1),

$$\gamma_\psi\left(X, \mu(1 + \varpi^r\alpha)U_0\right) = \gamma_\psi(X, \mu U_0), \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F.$$

If $X \neq X_0$, by condition (2),

$$\int_{\omega_0} \psi\left(\langle X - X_0, \mu(1 + \varpi^r\alpha)U_0\rangle\right) \,\mathrm{d}\alpha = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\kappa(X_0)\widehat{I}^{\eta}(X_0, f) = \mathrm{vol}(\omega)\gamma_{\psi}(X_0, \mu U_0) \neq 0.$$

The same computation goes for $\widehat{I}(Y_0, f')$ and we get

$$\widehat{I}(Y_0, f) = \mathrm{vol}(\omega')\gamma_{\psi}(Y_0, \mu V_0) \neq 0.$$

Then the conclusion follows from Lemma 7.5 and $\mathrm{vol}(\omega) = \mathrm{vol}(\omega')$. ☐

8 Fourier transform commutes with transfer

In this section, we aim to prove the following theorem, which is equivalent to Theorem 5.20. We shall use a global method, which is a modification of [Wa3].

Theorem 8.1. *There exists a constant $c \neq 0$ satisfying that: if $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ is a transfer of $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$, then*

$$\kappa(X)\widehat{I}^{\eta}(X, f) = c\widehat{I}(Y, f') \tag{1}$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F), Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$.

8.1 A convergence result

Now let k be a number field, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{\infty} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}$ be its ádele ring. Let k' be a quadratic field extension of k, and η be the quadratic character of $\mathbb{A}^{\times}/k^{\times}$ attached to k' by the class field theory. We define the symmetric pairs $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H})$ and $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ over k with respect to k' as same as the local setting. Let $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}'$ be the corresponding "Lie algebras" defined over k. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}))$ the space of Schwartz functions on $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A})$, and similar for $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{A}))$.

Theorem 8.2. *For each $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}))$,*

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})^1} \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)} |\phi(X^h)| \,\mathrm{d}h < \infty.$$

Similarly, for each $\phi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{A}))$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}'(k)\backslash\mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A})^1} \sum_{Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)} |\phi'(Y^h)| \,\mathrm{d}h < \infty.$$

Proof. (1) Now we prove the assertion for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}))$. Here we still write $Z = (X, Y) \in \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n$ and $h \cdot Z = (\mathrm{Ad} h)Z$ where $h \in \mathbf{H}$ for convenience. Recall that $Z = (X, Y)$ is in $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$ if and only if neither XY nor YX is contained in a proper parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. Let $\mathbf{P}_0$ be the minimal parabolic subgroup of GL_n consisting of the upper-triangular one. Put $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_0 \times \mathbf{P}_0 \subset \mathbf{H}$. Identify $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ with the subgroup of $\mathbb{A}_\infty^\times$ consisting of elements whose components at each place are the same and belong to $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$. For each real number $c > 0$, put A_c^0 the set of $a = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} \geq c$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and set

$$A_c = A_c^0 \times A_c^0 \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A}_\infty).$$

By reduction theory, we know that there exists a maximal compact subgroup $\mathbf{K}$ of $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})$, a compact subset $\omega \subset \mathrm{P}(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})^1$ and a $c > 0$ such that, if we set

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\ pak;\ p \in \omega, a \in A_c, k \in \mathbf{K}\},$$

we have the equality $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})^1 = \mathbf{H}(k)\mathcal{G}$, and thus, for each measurable function ϕ on $\mathbf{H}(k)\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})^1$ with valued ≥ 0, the integral

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})^1} \phi(x)\ \mathrm{d}x$$

is convergent if and only if the integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} \phi(x)\ \mathrm{d}x$$

is such so. Fix such $\mathbf{K}, \omega, c$. Then the integral is convergent if there exists $C \geq 0$ such that for each $p \in \omega, k \in \mathbf{K}$,

$$\int_{A_c} \sum_{Z \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)} |\phi\left((pak) \cdot Z\right)| \delta_{\mathrm{P}}(a)^{-1}\ \mathrm{d}a \leq C,$$

where δ_{P} is the usual module. There exists a compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})^1$ such that for all $p \in \omega, a \in A_c, k \in \mathbf{K}$, $a^{-1}pak \in \Omega$. Then there exists $\phi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}))$ such that for all $Z \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A})$ and $h \in \Omega$, we have $|\phi(h \cdot Z)| \leq \phi'(Z)$. It suffices to consider ϕ' of the form $\phi' = \phi'_\infty \otimes \phi'_{\mathrm{f}}$, where $\phi'_\infty \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}_\infty)), \phi'_{\mathrm{f}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$ both with ≥ 0 valued, and suffices to consider the integral

$$\int_{A_c} \sum_{Z \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)} \phi'(a \cdot Z)\delta_{\mathrm{P}}(a)^{-1}\ \mathrm{d}a.$$

Choose an $\mathcal{O}_k$-lattice L in $\mathfrak{s}(k)$ such that $\mathfrak{s}(k) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(\phi_{\mathrm{f}}) \subset L$. Denote $L_{\mathrm{ell}} = L \cap \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$. Since $\phi'_{\mathrm{f}}(a \cdot Z) = \phi'_{\mathrm{f}}(Z)$, it suffices to consider the integral

$$\int_{A_c} \sum_{Z \in L_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)} \phi'_{\infty}(a \cdot Z)\delta_{\mathrm{P}}(a)^{-1}\, \mathrm{d}a.$$

If $x_v \in k_v$ and v is an infinite place of k, write $|x_v|$ for the usual absolute value of x_v. For every $x = (x_v) \in \mathbb{A}_\infty$, put $|x| = \max_v |x_v|$. For $X = (x_{i,j}) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$, put $|X| = \max_{i,j} |x_{i,j}|$. For $Z = (X, Y) \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$, write $|Z| = \max\{|X|, |Y|\}$. Then the following lemma implies the theorem.

Lemma 8.3. *Assume that $n \geq 2$. There is a positive valued polynomial function P on the real vector space $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$, which depends on L and c, such that*

$$P(a \cdot Z) \geq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} \cdot \frac{b_i}{b_{i+1}} \right) |Z|,$$

for all $a = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, ..., a_n, b_1, ..., b_n) \in A_c$ and all $Z \in L_{\mathrm{ell}}$.

Proof. Take a positive valued polynomial function P_1 on $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$ such that

$$P_1(X, Y) \geq \max\{|XY|, |YX|\}, \quad \mathrm{forall}(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}_\infty).$$

Take a positive number c_L such that

$$(X, Y) \in L,\ d \text{ is a nonzero entry of } XY \text{ or } YX \Rightarrow |d| \geq c_L.$$

Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)$ in A_c and let $Z = (X, Y) = ((x_{i,j}), (y_{i,j}))$ in L_{ell}. Write $(u_{i,j})$ for XY. Fix $i_0 = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. Since XY is not contained in a proper parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$, there are $i \geq i_0 + 1$ and $j \leq i_0$ such that

$$u_{i,j} \neq 0.$$

Then

$$|u_{i,j}| \geq c_L,$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(a \cdot Z) &\geq |a_i u_{i,j} a_j^{-1}| \geq c_L a_i a_j^{-1} \geq c_L c^{i-i_0-1} c^{i_0-j} a_{i_0} a_{i_0+1}^{-1} \\ &\geq c_L c^{n-2} a_{i_0} a_{i_0+1}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$a_n^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i/a_{i+1})^{\frac{i}{n}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{c_L c^{n-2}} P_1(a \cdot Z) \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}},$$

and

$$a_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i/a_{i+1})^{\frac{n-i}{n}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{c_L c^{n-2}} P_1(a \cdot Z) \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Similarly,

$$P_1(a \cdot Z) \geq c_L c^{n-2} b_{i_0} b_{i_0+1}^{-1},$$

and

$$b_1, b_n^{-1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{c_L c^{n-2}} P_1(a \cdot Z) \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

For all $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, we have

$$|a \cdot Z| \geq a_i |a_{i,j}| b_j^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{i,j}| &\leq a_i^{-1} b_j |a \cdot Z| \leq c^{-(n-i)-(j-1)} a_n^{-1} b_1 |a \cdot Z| \\ &\leq c^{-(2n-2)} \left(\frac{1}{c_L c^{n-2}} \right)^{n-1} P_1(a \cdot Z)^{n-1} |a \cdot Z|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$|b_{i,j}| \leq c^{-(2n-2)} \left(\frac{1}{c_L c^{n-2}} \right)^{n-1} P_1(a \cdot Z)^{n-1} |a \cdot Z|.$$

By timing $\frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}}$ and $\frac{b_i}{b_{i+1}}$ on both sides of the above inequality, we get the lemma. □

(2) The convergence of the second integral (for $\phi' \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}))$) can be deduced easily from Lemma 10.8 of [Wa3], since the twisted conjugation by A_c is the usual conjugation. □

By the above theorem, we define a distribution I^η on $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$I^\eta(\phi) = \int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})^1} \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)} \phi(X^h)\eta(h)\, \mathrm{d}h, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A})),$$

and define a distribution I on $\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$I(\phi') = \int_{\mathbf{H}'(k)\backslash\mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A})^1} \sum_{Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)} \phi'(Y^h)\, \mathrm{d}h, \quad \phi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{A})).$$

If $\phi = \prod_v \phi_v, \phi' = \prod_v \phi'_v$, it is routine to see that

$$I^\eta(\phi) = \sum_{X \in [\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)]} \tau(\mathbf{H}_X) \prod_v \kappa_v(X) I^\eta(X, \phi_v),$$

$$I(\phi') = \sum_{Y \in [\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)]} \tau(\mathbf{H}'_Y) \prod_v I(Y, \phi'_v),$$

where $\tau(\mathbf{H}_X) = \mathrm{vol}(\mathbf{H}_X(k)\backslash\mathbf{H}_X(\mathbb{A})^1), \tau(\mathbf{H}'_Y) = \mathrm{vol}(\mathbf{H}'_Y(k)\backslash\mathbf{H}'_Y(\mathbb{A})^1)$, and $[\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)]$ denotes the $\mathbf{H}(k)$-orbits of $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$ and similarly for $[\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)]$. Note that if $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(k), Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(k)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$, we have

$$\tau(\mathbf{H}_X) = \tau(\mathbf{H}'_Y)$$

since $\mathbf{H}'_Y$ is an inner form of $\mathbf{H}_X$.

8.2 Proof of Theorem 8.1

Now, we fix $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ which is a transfer of f', and fix $X_0 \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F), Y_0 \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$. Next, we list the choices what we make on the global data.

Fields We choose a number field k and a quadratic field extension k' of k so that:

1. k is totally imaginary, and there are symmetric pairs $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H})$ and $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ defined over k with respect to k';
2. there exists a finite place w of k such that $k_w \simeq F,\ k'_w \simeq E$;
3. there exists another finite place u of k such that u is inert in k'.

From now on, we identify k_w with F and k'_w with E. Hence $X_0 \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(k_w)$ and $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(k_w)$. Denote by $\mathbb{A}$ the adéle ring of k. We fix a continuous character ψ on $\mathbb{A}/k$ such that the original fixed character ψ of F is the local component of ψ at w.

Places Denote by V (resp. V_∞, V_{f}) the set of all (resp. archimedean, non-archimedean) places of k. Fix two $\mathcal{O}_k$-lattices: $\mathbf{L} = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{O}_k) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{O}_k) \subset \mathfrak{s}(k)$ and $\mathbf{L}' = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{O}_{k'}) \subset \mathfrak{s}'(k)$. For each $v \in V_{\mathrm{f}}$, denote $\mathbf{L}_v = \mathbf{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathcal{O}_{k,v}, \mathbf{L}'_v = \mathbf{L}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathcal{O}_{k,v}$. We fix a finite set $S \subset V$ such that:

1. S contains u, w and V_∞;
2. for each $v \in V - S$, everything is unramified, i.e. $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{G}'$ are unramified over k_v, $\mathbf{L}_v$ and $\mathbf{L}'_v$ are self-dual with respect to ψ_v and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$.

Orbits For each $v \in V_{\mathrm{f}}$, we choose an open compact set $\Omega_v \subset \mathfrak{s}'(k_v)$ such that:

1. if $v = w$, we require that: $Y_0 \in \Omega_w \subset \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(k_w)$, $\widehat{I}(\cdot, f')$ is constant on Ω_w, and $\kappa(\cdot)\widehat{I}^\eta(\cdot, f)$ is constant and hence equal to $\kappa(X_0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X_0, f)$ on the set of $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(k_w)$ which matches an element Y in Ω_w,
2. if $v = u$, we require $\Omega_u \subset \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k_u)$;
3. if $v \in S$ but $v \neq w, u$, choose Ω_v to be any open compact set;
4. if $v \in V_{\mathrm{f}} - S$, let $\Omega_v = \mathbf{L}'_v$.

Then by the strong approximation theorem, there exists $Y^0 \in \mathfrak{s}'(k)$ such that $Y^0 \in \prod_v \Omega_v$. Furthermore, by the condition (2), $Y^0 \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$. Take an element $X^0 \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$ such that $X^0 \leftrightarrow Y^0$.

Functions For each $v \in V$, we choose functions $\phi_v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(k_v))$ and $\phi'_v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}'(k_v))$ in the following way:

1. if $v = w$, let $\phi_v = f$ and $\phi'_v = f'$;
2. if $v \in S - V_\infty - \{w\}$, by Theorem 7.6, we can require that:
    - if $X_v \in \mathrm{Supp}(\phi_v)$, there exists $Y_v \in \mathfrak{c}'_{Y^0}(k_v)$ such that $X_v \leftrightarrow Y_v$, where we denote by $\mathfrak{c}'_{Y^0}$ the Cartan subspace in $\mathfrak{s}'$ containing Y^0; if $Y_v \in \mathrm{Supp}(\phi'_v)$, there exists $Y'_v \in \mathfrak{c}'_{Y_0}(k_v)$ such that Y_v and Y'_v are $\mathbf{H}'(k_v)$-conjugate;
    - ϕ_v is a transfer of ϕ'_v;
    - $\widehat{I}^\eta(X^0, \phi_v) = c_v \widehat{I}(Y^0, \phi'_v)$, where $c_v = \gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}(k_v))\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}'(k_v))^{-1}$;
3. for $v \in V - S$, set $\phi_v = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{L}_v}, \phi'_v = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{L}'_v}$; then $\phi_v = \widehat{\phi}_v, \phi'_v = \widehat{\phi'_v}$, and by Lemma 5.9 we have
$$\kappa(X^0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X^0, \phi_v) = \kappa(X^0)I^\eta(X^0, \phi_v) = I(Y^0, \phi'_v) = \widehat{I}(Y^0, \phi'_v);$$
4. for $v \in V_\infty$, identifying $(\mathbf{H}(k_v), \mathfrak{s}(k_v))$ with $(\mathbf{H}'(k_v), \mathfrak{s}'(k_v))$, we can choose $\phi_v = \phi'_v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(k_v))$ such that:
    - $\widehat{I}^\eta(X^0, \phi_v) = \widehat{I}(Y^0, \phi'_v) \neq 0$;
    - if $X \in \mathfrak{s}(k)$ is $\mathbf{H}(k_v)$-conjugate to an element in the support of $\widehat{\phi}_v$ at each place $v \in V$, then X is $\mathbf{H}(k)$-conjugate to X^0;

- if $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(k)$ is $\mathbf{H}'(k_v)$-conjugate to an element in the support of $\widehat{\phi}'_v$ at each place $v \in V$, then Y is $\mathbf{H}'(k)$-conjugate to Y^0.

This is possible, by considering the map $\mathfrak{s}/\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{A}^\ell_k$ where $\mathbf{A}^\ell_k$ is the affine space over k determined by $\mathbf{A}^\ell_k = \mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{s})^{\mathbf{H}}$. We refer the readers to [Wa3, Lemme 10.7] for the proof in the endoscopic case, while the similar method is valid here.

Set $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\phi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{A}))$ to be:

$$\phi = \prod_{v\in V} \phi_v, \quad \phi' = \prod_{v\in V} \phi'_v.$$

Final proof According to the conditions on ϕ_u (resp. ϕ'_u), we know that if $X \in \mathfrak{s}(k)$ (resp. $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(k)$) such that $X \in \mathrm{Supp}(\phi)^{\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})}$ (resp. $Y \in \mathrm{Supp}(\phi')^{\mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A})}$), then $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$ (resp. $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$). By the conditions on ϕ_v at each place v, we know that, if $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$ such that $I(X, \phi_v) \neq 0$ for each $v \in V$, there exists $Y_v \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(k_v)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y_v$ at each place $v \in V$ and hence there exists $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$ by [AC, Lemma 1.2]. Therefore we have

$$I^\eta(\phi) = I(\phi'),$$

since ϕ_v is a transfer of ϕ'_v at each place $v \in V$ by the requirement.

On the other hand, according to the conditions on $\widehat{\phi}_v$ and $\widehat{\phi}'_v$, we know that if $X \in \mathfrak{s}(k)$ (resp. $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(k)$) such that $X \in \mathrm{Supp}(\widehat{\phi})^{\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})}$ (resp. $Y \in \mathrm{Supp}(\widehat{\phi}')^{\mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A})}$), X is $\mathbf{H}(k)$-conjugate to X^0 (resp. Y is $\mathbf{H}'(k)$-conjugate to Y^0).

By Poisson summation formula, we have

$$\sum_{X\in\mathfrak{s}(k)} \phi(X^h) = \sum_{X\in\mathfrak{s}(k)} \widehat{\phi}(X^h), \quad \forall h \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A}),$$

and

$$\sum_{Y\in\mathfrak{s}'(k)} \phi'(Y^h) = \sum_{Y\in\mathfrak{s}'(k)} \widehat{\phi}'(Y^h), \quad \forall h \in \mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A}).$$

Therefore, by the conditions on ϕ and ϕ', we have

$$I^\eta(\phi) = I^\eta(\widehat{\phi}), \quad I(\phi') = I(\widehat{\phi}').$$

Hence we have

$$I^\eta(\widehat{\phi}) = I(\widehat{\phi}'),$$

which amounts to say,

$$\tau(\mathbf{H}_{X^0})\prod_{v\in V}\kappa_v(X^0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X^0,\phi_v)=\tau(\mathbf{H}'_{Y^0})\prod_{v\in V}\widehat{I}(Y^0,\phi'_v).$$

For $v\in V-S$ or $v\in V_\infty$, we have

$$\kappa_v(X^0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X^0,\phi_v)=\widehat{I}(Y^0,\phi'_v)\neq 0.$$

Denote $S'=S-V_\infty-\{w\}$. Then for $v\in S'$ we have

$$\kappa_v(X^0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X^0,\phi_v)=c_v\widehat{I}(Y^0,\phi'_v)\neq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\kappa_w(X^0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X^0,f)=c\widehat{I}(Y^0,f'),$$

where

$$c=(\prod_{v\in S'}c_v)^{-1}=\prod_{v\in S'}\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}(k_v))^{-1}\gamma_\psi(\mathfrak{h}'(k_v)).$$

Since

$$\kappa_w(X_0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X_0,f)=\kappa_w(X^0)\widehat{I}^\eta(X^0,f),\quad \widehat{I}(Y_0,f')=\widehat{I}(Y^0,f'),$$

we complete the proof of the theorem.

References

[AG] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, *Generalized Harish-Chandra descent, Gelfand pairs, and an Archimedean analog of jacquet-Rallis's theorem*, Duke Math. J. **149** (2009), no. 3, 509-567.

[AC] J. Arthur, L. Clozel, *Simple algebras, base change, and advanced theory of the trace formula*, Ann. Math. Study **120**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1989.

[FJ] S. Friedberg, H. Jacquet, *Linear periods*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **443** (1993), 91-139.

[GGP] W. T. Gan, B. H. Gross, D. Prasad, *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups*, preprint.

[Gu1] J. Guo, *On a generalization of a result of Waldspurger*, Can. J. Math. **48** (1996), 105-142.

[Gu2] J. Guo, *Uniqueness of generalized Waldspurger model for* GL($2n$), Pacific J. Math. **180** (1997), No. 2, 273-289.

[HC1] Harich-Chandra, (notes by G. van Dijk) Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **162**, Springer, 1970.

[HC2] Harich-Chandra, *Admissible invariant distributions on reductive p-adic groups.* Notes by S. DeBaker and P. J. Sally, University Lecture Series, vol. **16**, AMS, 1999.

[Ha] Neal Harris, *A refined Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups*, PhD Thesis, 2011, UCSD.

[II] A. Ichino, T. Ikeda, *On the periods of automorphic forms on special orthogonal groups and the Gross-Prasad conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **19** (2010), no. 5, 1378-1425.

[Ja1] H. Jacquet, *Sur un resultat de Waldspurger*, Ann. Sci. Ecole norm. Sup. **19** (1986), 185-229.

[Ja2] H. Jacquet, *Smooth transfer of Kloosterman integrals*, Duke Math. J. **120** (2003), no. 1, 121-152.

[JR] H. Jacquet, S. Rallis, *Uniqueness of linear periods*, Compositio Math. **102** (1996), 65-123.

[Ko] R. Kottwitz, *Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic grups and Lie algebras*, in Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, 393-522, Clay Math. Proc., **4**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[RR] C. Rader, S. Rallis, *Spherical characters on p-adic symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **118** (1996), no. 1, 91-178.

[Sp] J. Sparling, *On the θ-split side of the local relative trace formula*, arXiv:0905.0034.

[Wa1] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symetrie*, Compositio Math. **54** (1985), 173-242.

[Wa2] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Une formule des traces locale pour les algebres de Lie p-adiques*, J. reine angew. Math. **465** (1995), 41-99.

[Wa3] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Le lemme fondamental implique le transfert*, Compositio Math. **105** (1997), 153-236.

[Zh1] W. Zhang, *Fourier transform and the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups*, preprint.

[Zh2] W. Zhang, *Automorphic period and the central value of Rankin-Selberg L-function*, arXiv:1208.6280.

Chong Zhang
Academy of Mathematics and System Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing, 100190, PR China.
E-mail address: `zhangchong02@gmail.com`